

[Click to view poster 1 \(8mb\).](#)  
[Click to view poster 2 \(27mb\).](#)  
[Click to view poster 3 \(15mb\).](#)

## **<sup>PS</sup> Integrating Outcrop and Subsurface Data for Reservoir Prediction in Fluvial Tight-Gas Sands, Upper & Middle Williams Fork Fm, Grand Hogback, CO\***

**Bryan McDowell<sup>1</sup> and Piret Plink-Bjorklund<sup>1</sup>**

Search and Discovery Article #51328 (2016)\*\*  
Posted November 21, 2016

\*Adapted from poster presentation given at AAPG 2014 Annual Convention and Exhibition, Houston, Texas, April 6-9, 2014

\*\*Datapages © 2016 Serial rights given by author. For all other rights contact author directly.

<sup>1</sup>Geology and Geological Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado, USA ([bmcdowel@mines.edu](mailto:bmcdowel@mines.edu); [pplink@mines.edu](mailto:pplink@mines.edu))

### **Abstract**

The Williams Fork Formation of western Colorado is a significant tight-gas sand reservoir composed of coals, mudstones, and fluvial sandstones. Sandbodies can be isolated or amalgamated and show a variety of geometries and lithofacies content. Poor understanding of reservoir continuity and quality is commonplace as a result, forcing operators to decrease well spacing; thus, increasing well costs and associated risks. This study aims to better characterize these fluvial reservoirs through the integration of outcrop geometries, quantitative channel-fill measurements, outcrop gamma ray curves, subsurface geophysical well logs, and petrographic descriptions. Four distinct sandbody types have been defined along the Grand Hogback based on outcrop geometries and reservoir potential: (1) Type I – Single-story channels, (2) Type II – Laterally amalgamated channels, (3) Type III – Multi-story channels, and (4) Type IV – Crevasse channels & splays. Type I sandbodies are most common (approx. 60%); however, Type III likely contribute the greatest reservoir potential due to higher sand volumes and overall thickness. Geometric relationships (axis thickness vs. apparent width) are seen between discrete sandbody types, as well as lithofacies content due to stratigraphic architecture. Outcrop gamma ray curves have been measured in tandem with stratigraphic sections, lithofacies samples, and photo panoramas to show what geophysical well logs actually represent within each fluvial succession. These curves are equivalent to spectral gamma ray well logs (total GR, K, U, Th), and give clear evidence of lithofacies content (sands vs. muds) and changes (fining- vs. coarsening-upwards) in respective sandbody types. This data integration gives insight to reservoir prediction from 100- to sub-meter scales based on fluvial-style, internal characteristics, and stratigraphic position within the Williams Fork Formation.

### **References Cited**

Blakey, R.C., 2011a, Paleogeography and geologic evolution of North America, Accessed 15 March 2014, <http://jan.ucc.nau.edu/rcb7/nam.html>

Blakey, R.C., 2011b, Paleogeography of the Colorado Plateau and Vicinity, Accessed 15 March 2014, <http://jan.ucc.nau.edu/rcb7/ColoPlatPalgeog.html>

Burger, B.J., 2007, A new Late Paleocene vertebrate fauna from the Ohio Creek Formation of western Colorado: *The Mountain Geologist*, v. 44/3, p. 141-150.

Cumella, S., 2013, Personal communication, September 11, 2013.

Cole, R.D., and S.P. Cumella, 2003, Stratigraphic architecture and reservoir characteristics of the Mesaverde Group, southern Piceance Basin: in Peterson, K. M., Olson, T. M., and D. S. Anderson, 2003, *Piceance Basin Guidebook: Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists*, Denver, Colorado, p. 385-442.

Foster, R.M., 2010, Sequence stratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous Middle Williams Fork Formation, Piceance Basin, northwestern Colorado: Implications for reservoir studies: University of Colorado, Master's Thesis, 264 p.

Gale, H.S., 1910, Coal fields of northwestern Colorado and northeastern Utah: *USGS Bulletin* 415, 283 p.

Johnson, R.C., and F. May, 1980, A study of the Cretaceous-Tertiary unconformity in the Piceance Creek Basin, Colorado: The underlying Ohio Creek Formation (Upper Cretaceous) redefined as a member of the Hunter Canyon or Mesaverde Formation: *USGS Bulletin* 1482-B, 36 p.

Johnson, R.C., and R.M. Flores, 2003, History of the Piceance Basin from latest Cretaceous through early Eocene and the characterization of lower Tertiary sandstone reservoirs: in Peterson, K. M., Olson, T. M., and D. S. Anderson, 2003, *Piceance Basin Guidebook: Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists*, Denver, Colorado, p. 21-61.

Hansley, P.A., and R.C. Johnson, 1980, Mineralogy and diagenesis of low-permeability sandstones of late Cretaceous age, Piceance Basin, northwestern Colorado: *The Mountain Geologist*, v. 17/4, p. 89-129.

Hoak, T.E., and A.L. Klawitter, 1995, Delineation of Piceance Basin basement structures using multiple source data: implications for fractured reservoir exploration: in *Proceedings of the International Unconventional Gas Symposium (Intergas '95)*, Tuscaloosa, AL, p. 53-73.

Hoak, T.E., and A.L. Klawitter, 1997, Prediction of fractured reservoir production trends and compartmentalization using an integrated analysis of basement structures in the Piceance Basin, western Colorado: in T.E. Hoak, A.L. Klawitter, and P.K. Blomquist, eds., *Fractured Reservoirs: Characterization and Modeling Guidebook: Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists*, Denver, Colorado, p. 67-102.

Law, B.E., 2002, Basin-centered Gas Systems: *AAPG Bulletin*, v. 75/11, p. 1891-1919.

Lorenz, J.C., 1982, Sedimentology of the Mesaverde Formation at Rifle Gap, Colorado and Implications for Gas-Bearing Intervals in the Subsurface:

Sandia National Laboratories, SAND-82-0604, 42 p.

Lorenz, J.C., D.M. Heinze, J.A. Clark, and C.A. Searls, 1985, Determination of Widths of Meander-Belt Sandstone Reservoirs from Vertical Downhole Data, Mesaverde Group, Piceance Creek Basin, Colorado: AAPG Bulletin, v. 69/5, p. 710-721.

McDowell, B.P., and P. Plink-Björklund, 2013, Reservoir geometries and facies associations of fluvial tight-gas sands, Williams Fork Formation, Rifle Gap, Colorado: URTeC 161891, 8 p.

Ozkan, A., 2010, Structural diagenetic attributes of the late Cretaceous William Fork sandstones with implications for petrophysical interpretation and fracture prediction, Piceance Basin, Colorado: The University of Texas at Austin, PhD Dissertation, 329 p.

Patterson, P.E., K. Kronmueller, and T.D. Davies, 2003, Sequence stratigraphy of the Mesaverde Group and Ohio Creek Conglomerate, northern Piceance Basin, Colorado: in Peterson, K. M., Olson, T. M., and D. S. Anderson, 2003, Piceance Basin Guidebook: Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists, Denver, Colorado, p. 115-128.

Pranter, M.J., R.D. Cole, H. Panjaitan, and N.K. Sommer, 2009, Sandstone-body dimensions in a lower coastal-plain depositional setting: Lower Williams Fork Formation, Coal Canyon, Piceance Basin, Colorado: AAPG Bulletin, v. 93/10, p. 1379-1401.