Present-Day Stress Analysis in the St. Lawrence Lowlands from Borehole Breakouts and Implications for CO$_2$ Injection
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Summary

The average maximum horizontal stress direction $S_{H_{max}}$ obtained from stress-induced wellbore borehole breakouts in the St. Lawrence Lowlands is oriented N59˚E±20°. The wellbore breakouts inferred from multi-arm dipmeter caliper data in 17 wells vary from 250 m to 4 km depth. These wellbore failure features are confined to the different Paleozoic lithological units of the St. Lawrence Platform succession and frontal thrust slices of the Québec Appalachians. Our results are compatible with the regional NE-SW $S_{H_{max}}$ stress orientation pattern that is generally observed in the NE Canada and USA. Regional fault patterns affect Paleozoic sedimentary succession of the St. Lawrence Platform and are generally oriented NE-SW. A key aim of geomechanical study in the St. Lawrence Lowlands is to estimate maximum sustainable fluid pressures for CO$_2$ injection that will not induce fracturing and faulting or reactivation of pre-existing faults. This requires the determination of prevailing stresses (directions and magnitudes), fault geometries and rock strengths.

Introduction

Evaluation of the geomechanical response of the reservoir rock and caprock to different CO$_2$ injection scenarios and its long-term storage represents a necessary part of reservoir-geomechanical study in the St. Lawrence Lowlands, Québec. Present-day stress direction determinations are the initial phase of this study. The assessment of the potential shear failure and/or re-activation of pre-existing faults and fracture sets as a result of changes in the reservoir pressure due to CO$_2$ injection represents the next step in this direction.

Stress directions in eastern North America have been determined by Plumb and Cox (1987) to depths of 4.5 km from borehole breakouts measured by dipmeter calipers in 47 wells in Paleozoic and Mesozoic successions. The average maximum horizontal stress directions $S_{H_{max}}$ in eastern Canada were estimated as N54˚E ± 7° for depths up to 2 km (Fig. 1). The NE-SW directions $S_{H_{max}}$ are recognised in the NE Canada and USA based both on borehole breakouts and seismic stress directions data (Heidbach et al., 2008; 2010). Recent evaluation of the regional stress patterns in Montreal, Charlevoix and Lower St. Lawrence seismic zones (depth > 5 km) concluded a thrust fault stress regime characterizes the Precambrian basement at depth in these areas, where the major principal stress $S_1$ is horizontal and the minimum principal stress $S_3$ is
vertical (Mazzotti and Townend, 2010). The maximum horizontal stress directions determined from earthquake focal mechanisms in these seismic zones are rotated clockwise with respect to the borehole $S_{H\text{max}}$ stress orientations, that could be a result of the concentration of postglacial rebound stresses by local zones of weakness, such as low-friction faults (Mazzotti and Townend, 2010), or may occur due to variation of stress orientation or regime with depth.

**Methods**

The maximum horizontal stress directions $S_{H\text{max}}$ (Figs 1, 2) are determined from the borehole breakouts orientations measured by dipmeter 4-arm calipers in 17 wells of the St. Lawrence Lowlands. The vertical stress $S_v$ and its gradient (Fig. 3) are calculated for 15 wells by integrating the density logs data. The least horizontal principal stress $S_{\text{hmin}}$ has been estimated from leak-off tests. The pore (reservoir) pressure $P_p$ (Fig. 3) is estimated from the analysis of DST data in 5 wells in the Bécancour area. The laboratory analyses on rock strength and elastic parameters for both the reservoir and caprock formations are in process. The greatest principal stress $S_{H\text{max}}$ is to be modeled by incorporating wellbore failure as seen in image logs or 4-arm caliper data, taking into account $S_v$, $S_{\text{hmin}}$, $P_p$, rock strength, drilling experiences, well trajectory and several other factors.

Figure 1: The maximum horizontal stress directions $S_{H\text{max}}$ obtained from borehole breakouts in the St. Laurence Lowlands and Quebec Appalachians. Geological background is after Globensky (1987), Castonguay et al. (2006).
Results

The average $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ direction (Fig. 2) obtained from borehole breakouts in the St. Lawrence Lowlands is oriented N59.7°E±20.3°, similar to the results of Plumb and Cox (1987). From NE to SW, the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ directions vary (Fig. 2) from N69.9°E±17.5° in the St-Flavien area to N62.8°E±4.0° in the Bécancour-Notre Dame area to N35.2°E±5.6° in the St-Simon area remaining parallel to the Appalachian thrust front and structural trends in the St. Lawrence Platform (Fig. 1).

The Paleozoic sedimentary succession (Figs 1, 3) of the St. Lawrence Platform was deposited during syn-rifting extension of the Grenvillian passive continental margin and subsequent syn-orogenic subsidence of the foreland basin developed in front of the Appalachian thrusts during the Taconian orogeny. The syn-sedimentary normal faults initiated in the Precambrian basement cut through the sedimentary succession up to Utica Shale (Fig. 1, profiles). Some of the normal faults were re-activated as reverse faults at the end of the Taconian orogeny or later (Konstantinovskaya et al., 2010a). The regional normal and reverse faults are oriented generally NE-SW rotating to the NNE-SSW direction in the Montréal area (Thériault et al., 2005; Konstantinovskaya et al., 2009; 2010a).

The orientations of principal compressional stress axis $S_1$ of Taconian and Alleghanian paleostress fields (Faure et al., 1996a; 2004) are perpendicular or highly oblique to the present-day $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ directions, while the $S_1$ orientations inherent for Late Cretaceous – Early Tertiary paleostress field (Faure et al., 1996b) are aligned with the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ directions, which probably remain the same since that time (Konstantinovskaya et al., 2010b). Further data of the absolute stress $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ and $S_{h_{\text{min}}}$ magnitudes and rock strengths are required to estimate whether faults were to become active under the present day stress regime as a result of CO$_2$ injection.

The average value of vertical stress $S_v$ gradient is 26 kPa/m, varying from 24.2 kPa/m to 26.5 kPa/m in the analysed wells of the St. Lawrence Lowlands (Fig. 3). The $S_{h_{\text{min}}}$ values determined from LOTs in different wells are slightly lower than $S_v$ values. The regional pore (reservoir) pressure $P_p$ gradient is 11.76-12.5 kPa/m in the Bécancour area as it is estimated from DST data (Fig. 3). This value is compatible with hydrostatic pressure gradient calculated for the brine density 1.18-1.29 g/cm$^3$ observed in the Bécancour area. The higher values of $P_p$ gradient estimated locally in well A158 (Fig. 3) likely indicate the presence of a separate confined reservoir in this area.
Conclusions

The average maximum horizontal stress $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ direction obtained from borehole breakouts in the St. Lawrence Lowlands is oriented N59°E±20° (mean value) similar to $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ borehole breakout directions from eastern Canada (N54°E ± 7°). First estimations of vertical and $S_{h_{\text{min}}}$ stress and pore pressure variations with depth are to be completed by evaluation of stress $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ magnitude and data on elastic properties of reservoir and caprock formations in order to perform reservoir-geomechanical feasibility evaluation for CO$_2$ injection and storage in the St. Lawrence Lowlands, Quebec.
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