

Reconstruction of Nuna: A working hypothesis

David A.D. Evans*, Ross N. Mitchell, Taylor M. Kilian, and Joseph E. Panzik
Department of Geology & Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven CT 06520-8109, USA
dai.evans@yale.edu

Plate-tectonic assembly of Laurentia, during the surprisingly brief interval of 1.9-1.8 Ga, has been appreciated by the geological community for more than two decades (Hoffman, 1988). Nonetheless, the only rigorously quantitative method for reconstructing Precambrian cratons and supercontinents, paleomagnetism, has yet to fulfill its potential in describing the histories of Laurentia's and neighboring continents' amalgamation into the late Paleoproterozoic supercontinent Nuna. Here we describe a working model for the assembly of North American cratons, in the context of once-contiguous landmasses, that is based on the current global paleomagnetic database and our own studies in progress.

Prior to Laurentia's amalgamation, its constituent cratons (Slave, Rae, Hearne, Sask, Wyoming, Superior, Nain) might have been located within various continent-sized assemblages of supercratons (Bleeker, 2003), or perhaps a full-fledged Kenorland supercontinent (Williams et al., 1990). The Kenorland postulate is paleomagnetically testable by comparing the lengths and shapes of apparent polar wander paths between precisely coeval data from the allegedly connected cratons. If the paths are of significantly different lengths or shapes, then the proposed connection is refuted. At present the published global database from 2.7-2.2 Ga (the proposed interval in which Kenorland may have existed according to various suggestions, as reviewed by Reddy and Evans, 2009) slightly favors the supercraton option rather than the Kenorland option--but this conclusion hinges on data of less than the highest quality (as reviewed by Evans and Pisarevsky, 2008).

The 2.8-2.7 Ga paleogeography of one supercraton, Vaalbara, is becoming better constrained by recent data from Western Australia and South Africa (Strik et al., 2003; De Kock et al., 2009). Another proposed supercraton, Superia, is taking shape from the geometries of numerous large igneous provinces, in particular mafic dyke swarms (Bleeker and Ernst, 2006). That craton might have included Superior as a central block, flanked by Hearne, Wyoming, Karelia, and undetermined other cratons. Superior has the highest-quality Paleoproterozoic apparent polar wander path of any craton, to the degree that subtle relative motion across the Kapuskasing zone can be discerned and quantified (Buchan et al., 2007; Evans and Halls, in press). Paleomagnetic testing of the LIP-based reconstruction of the Superia supercraton is preliminary, but supportive in a limited number of tests using data (again, unfortunately) that are not yet substantiated by precise ages or guarantees of original, primary magnetic remanences such as the baked-contact test (Harlan et al., 2003; Mertanen et al., 2006). Our current paleomagnetic and geochronologic work on mafic dyke swarms in Wyoming aims to test, definitively, the Roscoe and Card (1993) hypothesis of Wyoming adjacent to southern Superior for 2.7-2.1 Ga.

Slave craton's Paleoproterozoic apparent polar wander path is beginning to take form (Buchan et al., 2009). Much paleomagnetic and geochronologic work in progress (presented by K. Buchan in this session) is calibrating Slave's motions between ca. 2.2 and ca. 1.9 Ga, and already indicates relative motion between Slave and Superior through parts of that time interval. A detailed evaluation of published Slave paleomagnetic data from 1.9 to 1.8 Ga (Mitchell et al., reviewed) indicates numerous rapid oscillations of motion, likely indicative of true polar wander and thus challenging our abilities to make precise pole comparisons through that interval (similar to the Ediacaran-Cambrian paleomagnetic database with large discrepancies that are also contested as putative true polar wander oscillations; Evans, 2003). However, the

advantage of the 1.9-1.8 Ga interval is that geological preservation has solved much of the problem for us, as revealed by geological mapping and geochronology of the still-intact assemblage of Laurentian cratons inherited from Nuna's reign. The Nuna supercontinent contained at least Laurentia and originally conjoined cratons to the north (adjacent to Slave and Rae) and northeast (adjacent to Rae and Nain). The strongest craton juxtaposition in Nuna, from a combined geological and paleomagnetic perspective, is the NENA reconstruction of Gower et al. (1990), quantified by numerous high-quality paleomagnetic pole comparisons (Evans and Pisarevsky, 2008). The connection is compelling enough to allow geometric tests of the Earth's Proterozoic magnetic field, specifically whether it was a pure dipole or whether it had non-negligible quadrupolar or octupolar components as measured at the planet's surface (Kent and Smethurst, 1998; Evans, 2006; Swanson-Hysell et al., 2009; Panzik and Evans, in prep.).

The Siberian craton is nearly surrounded by Mesoproterozoic rifted passive margins (Pisarevsky and Natapov, 2003) and therefore likely lay near the center of Nuna. Recently published paleomagnetic and geochronologic data from Siberia (Wingate et al., 2009; Didenko et al., 2009) fill an earlier void in that craton's 1.9-1.5 Ga apparent polar wander path and permit a long-lived direct juxtaposition between Siberia and northern Laurentia through that interval of time (Figure 1). We propose that during the geon prior to final assembly of Nuna at 1.81 Ga (St-Onge et al., 2006), collisional orogens between the Slave, Rae, and Hearne cratons in Laurentia would have extended directly into contemporaneous orogens that welded Siberia and Fennoscandia (northern Baltica). Nuna had thus attained continent-sized, if not supercontinent-sized, proportions by ca. 1.9 Ga, and that Superior had a relatively late arrival in joining the landmass. It is possible to hypothesize Nuna's inclusion of other cratons, such as North China on the far side of Siberia (Wu et al., 2005), Northern Australia and the Mawson Continent to the west of present North America (Betts et al., 2008; Goodge et al., 2008; Payne et al., 2009), and Amazon and West Africa on the far side of Baltica (Bispo-Santos et al., 2008; Johannsen, 2009), but those connections are more speculative at this stage.

If the paleogeographic reconstruction described above is correct, then mafic magmatic events at 1.38-1.27 Ga, as found in North China (Zhang et al., 2009), northern Siberia (Ernst et al., 2000), Baltica (see Ernst et al., 2008), and northern Laurentia (Ernst and Bleeker, in press), might represent breakup of Nuna in mid-Mesoproterozoic time. By the time of ca. 1.0 Ga Rodinia assembly (Li et al., 2008; but note an alternative model presented by Evans, 2009), Siberia had separated by about a craton's distance from Laurentia (Pisarevsky et al., 2008), and Baltica had pivoted around Greenland to arrive at its Neoproterozoic "right-way-up" orientation adjacent to northeastern Laurentia (Cawood and Pisarevsky, 2006). The most obvious difficulty with this Nuna breakup model is the current lack of any known examples of 1.27-Ga Mackenzie-correlative mafic rocks in southern Siberia. To resolve this issue, the search for such rocks in the remote Aldan cratonic region should proceed with utmost urgency.

References

- Betts, P.G., Giles, D. and Schaefer, B.F., 2008, Comparing 1800-1600 Ma accretionary and basin processes in Australia and Laurentia: Possible geographic connections in Columbia: *Precambrian Research*, 166, 81-92.
- Bispo-Santos, F., D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Pacca, I.I.G., Janikian, L., Trindade, R.I.F., Elming, S.-Å., Silva, J.A., Barros, M.A.S., and Pinho, F.E.C., 2008, Columbia revisited: Paleomagnetic results from the 1790 Ma colider volcanics (SW Amazonian Craton, Brazil): *Precambrian Research*, 164, 40-49.
- Bleeker, W., 2003, The late Archean record: a puzzle in ca. 35 pieces: *Lithos*, 71, 99-134.
- Bleeker, W., and Ernst, R., 2006, Short-lived mantle generated magmatic events and their dyke swarms: The key to unlocking Earth's paleogeographic record back to 2.6 Ga. In: Hanski, E., Mertanen, S., Rämö, T., and Vuollo, J., eds., *Dyke Swarms—Time Markers of Crustal Evolution*. London, Taylor & Francis, p. 3-26.
- Buchan, K.L., Goutier, J., Hamilton, M.A., Ernst, R.E., and Matthews, W.A., 2007. Paleomagnetism, U-Pb geochronology, and geochemistry of Lac Esprit and other dyke swarms, James Bay area, Quebec, and implications for Paleoproterozoic deformation of the Superior Province: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 44, 643-664.

Buchan, K.L., LeCheminant, A.N., and van Breemen, O., 2009, Paleomagnetism and U-Pb geochronology of the Lac de Gras diabase dyke swarm, Slave Province, Canada: implications for relative drift of Slave and Superior provinces in the Paleoproterozoic: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 46, 361-379.

Cawood, P.A., and Pisarevsky, S.A., 2006, Was Baltica right-way-up or upside-down in the Neoproterozoic?: *Journal of the Geological Society of London*, 163, 753-759.

De Kock, M.O., Evans, D.A.D., and Beukes, N.J., 2009, Validating the existence of Vaalbara in the Neoproterozoic: *Precambrian Research*, 174, 145-154.

Didenko, A.N., Vodovozov, V.Y., Pisarevsky, S.A., Gladkochub, D.P., Donskaya, T.V., Mazukabzov, A.M., Stanevich, A.M., Bibikova, E.V. and Kirnozova, T.I., 2009, Palaeomagnetism and U-Pb dates of the Palaeoproterozoic Akitkan Group (South Siberia) and implications for pre-Neoproterozoic tectonics. In: Reddy, S.M., Mazumder, R., Evans, D.A.D., and Collins, A.S. (eds) *Palaeoproterozoic Supercontinents and Global Evolution*. Geological Society of London Special Publication, 323, 145-163.

Ernst, R.E. and Bleeker, W., in press, Large igneous provinces (LIPs), giant dyke swarms, and mantle plumes: Significance for breakup events within Canada from 2.6 Ga to present: *Geoscience Canada*.

Ernst, R.E., Buchan, K.L., Hamilton, M.A., Okrugin, A.V., and Tomshin, M.D., 2000, Integrated paleomagnetism and U-Pb geochronology of mafic dikes of the eastern Anabar Shield region, Siberia: Implications for Mesoproterozoic paleolatitude of Siberia and comparison with Laurentia: *Journal of Geology*, 108, 381-401.

Ernst, R.E., Wingate, M.T.D., Buchan, K.L., and Li, Z.X., 2008, Global record of 1600-700 Ma Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs): Implications for the reconstruction of the proposed Nuna (Columbia) and Rodinia supercontinents: *Precambrian Research*, 160, 159-178.

Evans, D.A.D., 2003, True polar wander and supercontinents: *Tectonophysics*, 362, 303-320.

Evans, D.A.D., 2006, Proterozoic low orbital obliquity and axial-dipolar geomagnetic field from evaporite palaeolatitudes: *Nature*, 444, 51-55.

Evans, D.A.D., 2009, The palaeomagnetically viable, long-lived and all-inclusive Rodinia supercontinent reconstruction. In: Murphy, J.B., Keppie, J.D. and Hynes, A. (eds) *Ancient Orogens and Modern Analogues*. Geological Society of London Special Publication, 327, 371-405.

Evans, D.A.D., and Halls, H.C., in press, Restoring Proterozoic deformation within the Superior craton: *Precambrian Research*.

Evans, D.A.D. and Pisarevsky, S.A., 2008, Plate tectonics on early Earth? Weighing the paleomagnetic evidence. In: Condie, K.C. and Pease, V. (eds) *When Did Plate Tectonics Begin on Earth?* Geological Society of America, Special Paper, 440, 249-263.

Goode, J.W., Vervoort, J.D., Fanning, C.M., Brecke, D.M., Farmer, G.L., Williams, I.S., Myrow, P.M., and DePaolo, D.J., 2008, A positive test of East Antarctica-Laurentia juxtaposition within the Rodinia supercontinent: *Science*, 321, 235-240.

Gower, C.F., Ryan, A.B. and Rivers, T., 1990, Mid-Proterozoic Laurentia-Baltica: an overview of its geological evolution and a summary of the contributions made by this volume. In: Gower, C.F., Rivers, T., & Ryan, A.B. (eds) *Mid-Proterozoic Laurentia-Baltica*. Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper, 38, 1-20.

Harlan, S.S., Geissman, J.W., and Premo, W.R., 2003, Paleomagnetism and geochronology of an Early Proterozoic quartz diorite in the southern Wind River Range, Wyoming, USA: *Tectonophysics*, 362, 105-122.

Hoffman, P.F., 1988, United Plates of America, the birth of a craton: Early Proterozoic assembly and growth of Laurentia: *Annual Reviews of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 16, 543-603.

Johansson, Å., 2009, Baltica, Amazonia and the SAMBA connection--1000 million years of neighbourhood during the Proterozoic?: *Precambrian Research*, 175, 221-234.

Karlstrom, K.E., Åhall, K.-I., Harlan, S.S., Williams, M.L., McLelland, J., and Geissman, J.W., 2001, Long-lived (1.8-1.0 Ga) convergent orogen in southern Laurentia, its extensions to Australia and Baltica, and implications for refining Rodinia: *Precambrian Research*, 111, 5-30.

Kent, D.V., and Smethurst, M.A., 1998, Shallow bias of paleomagnetic inclinations in the Paleozoic and Precambrian: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 160, 391-402.

Li, Z.X., Bogdanova, S.V., Collins, A.S., Davidson, A., De Waele, B., Ernst, R.E., Fitzsimons, I.C.W., Fuck, R.A., Gladkochub, D.P., Jacobs, J., Karlstrom, K.E., Lu, S., Natapov, L.M., Pease, V., Pisarevsky, S.A., Thrane, K., and Vernikovskiy, V., 2008, Assembly, configuration, and break-up history of Rodinia: A synthesis: *Precambrian Research*, 160, 179-210.

