Genesis of mass wasting seismic facies deduced by CAT-scan analysis.
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Introduction
Some authors have demonstrated that the coupling of CAT-scan with seismic data can be a useful tool in sedimentology (Schillinger, 2000; Abegg and Anderson, 1997). This coupling has been used by Abegg and Anderson (1997) to study the relationship between CH₄ bubble distribution in fine-grained sediments and the observation of turbid acoustic units. Long and Schillinger (2001) and Schillinger (2000) have used the analogy between CAT-scan data and seismic facies to study the architecture of an intertidal sand body. However, concerning the analysis of submarine mass wasting deposits no correlation has ever been made between CAT-scan and seismic signatures. Some authors have demonstrated that CAT-scan is a powerful tool to reveal mm-scale stratigraphy (Boespflug et al., 1995; Occhietti et al., 1995). The very-high resolution of the CAT-scan can thus help to better understand the seismic signature of the sediments.

During 2001 and 2002 four surveys were conducted in the Upper Saguenay Fjord basin, Canada, and have permitted to obtain numerous piston cores and more than 1000 km of very-high-resolution seismic profiles. Seismic line positioning accuracy was 1 m (DGPS) and core positioning accuracy was between 2 and 12 m (Track Point II). The Upper Saguenay Fjord basin, has been struck in the last ~400 yrs by catastrophic sedimentation episodes (Crémer et al., 2002; Locat et al., 2001; Syvitski and Schaffer, 1996; Praeg and Syvitski, 1991). Some authors have hypothesized that most of the seafloor failures and mass wasting deposits located in this area, are the result of the 1663 Ms ~7 Charlevoix earthquake (Syvitski and Schaffer, 1996). According, to Syvitski and Schaffer (1996) this event has generated an amalgamation of 100 m thick mass wasting deposits on the basin floor. Therefore, this area represents a unique site to study the genesis of modern mass wasting deposits.

The main objective of this project is to determine the genesis and the sedimentary architecture of some mass wasting deposits seismic facies within the study area, by CAT-scan analysis. However in this paper, only the relation between some mass wasting deposits seismic facies and CAT-scan facies is discussed.

Correlations between seismic facies and CAT-scan imagery : an example.

Methodology
Several 2-D seismic profiles were collected with an IKB-Seistec (3.5 kHz, 175 J) over the study area with a 20 m spacing. Coring sites were surveyed with two perpendicular 2-D seismic profiles. Sampling rate during seismic data acquisition was 20 μs. This high sampling rate has permitted to build each seismic wavelet from 13 amplitude values. Wavelength of each wavelet is ~0.26 ms or ~0.2 m which corresponds to the resolution of the survey. Seismic signal attenuation by the water column at the coring site is 0.04 dB. Geometrical spreading attenuation of the seismic signal at 150 m of water depth is 49.5 dB. Seismic lines were processed with Kingdom Suite seismic software package.

All cores samples were analyzed with a fourth generation Siemens Somatom Volume Access medical CAT-scan at the Multidisciplinary CAT-scan Laboratory of Quebec, Canada. It has provided 1 mm resolution axial topograms of the cores. The topograms represent X-rays linear attenuation coefficients distribution maps of the various core sections over their longitudinal axis. The topogram imagery shows a 2-D mean linear attenuation coefficient pixel matrix (each pixel=1x1 mm) obtained by integrating coefficient values over the total thickness of the core (10 cm) in the perpendicular axis of the core. Core logging was made based on image textures and density contrasts expressed by gray level variations. Each core topogram had a width of 100 pixels. Hounsfield unit (HU) spectrums were obtained...
by computing an HU mean value on a 20 pixels width centered on pixel 50. The 20 pixels width was chosen to calculate the mean instead of the total width of the core (100 pixels), to avoid the integration of a smaller number of coefficients because the core is thinner near its border. Because of the rapid fluctuations of the HU values and the noisy character of the spectrums, all spectrums were smoothed with a Daubechies wavelet transform. Beam-hardening artifacts, caused by important density contrasts (air vs sediments) at both ends of each core sections, were easily removed prior to the smoothing. The beam-hardening effect has been observed in media with important density contrasts; e.g. at the interface of mud and highly compacted sand layers (Duliu, 1999). Based on the HU spectrum observations there is no major density contrast that can be related to the beam-hardening effect. For the selected core, HU values range between 1021.39 and 1229.4 HU.

Prior to the correlations, work flow included: 1) extraction of the seismic traces corresponding to each core location with the Kingdom Suite seismic software package, 2) depth to time conversion for all cores to assess a correct correspondence between the seismic trace and HU spectrum, 3) seismic facies description and interpretation and 4) CAT-scan facies description and interpretation. Then, for each core, qualitative correlations between the seismic trace and the HU spectrum, between the seismic trace, the CAT-scan image, and the HU profile were completed. Because the core positioning accuracy ranges from 2 to 12 m and because shot point spacing is ~ 1 m, an average seismic trace was computed based on the summation of 12 seismic traces (6 traces on each side of the coring site) from the acoustic impedance values corresponding to the core length.

Seismic and CAT-scan data descriptions
Core MB01-03 is 5.45 m (7.3 ms) long and has been sampled in mass wasting deposits. This core was chosen because of its important vertical facies variations. Table 1 shows core MB01-03 CAT-scan facies characteristics. Figure 1 I) shows core location on the corresponding seismic profile. On this profile, six different seismic horizons of higher amplitudes have been pinpointed as seismic markers respectively at 126 (marker a), 123.9 (marker b), 122.5 (marker c), 121.2 (marker d), 120.1 (marker e), and 119.4 ms (marker f) (figure 1 I) ). The core penetrates three different seismic facies. The first facies corresponds to low amplitude chaotic reflections and transects the core on length of 3.1 m (4 ms). This facies is delimited at the base by a series of hummocky reflectors and at the top by a medium amplitude chaotic reflector. The second facies is represented by a series of wavy to chaotic reflections which have amplitudes ranging from medium to high. This facies is 1.60 m (2.1 ms) thick. The last facies lies sharply on the previous one and is imaged by a series of high amplitude undulating reflectors. It represents the top 0.9 m (1.20 ms) of the core.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Contacts</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix supported (Ms)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Top: sharp</td>
<td>Low density fine matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density laminated/Matrix supported (LDI/MS)</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>Bottom: gradual Top: sharp</td>
<td>3mm thick laminae, ribbon-like texture, coarse particles oriented on their long axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density laminated/ Low density massive (LDI/LDmm)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>Bottom: gradual Top: gradual</td>
<td>More laminated near the base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density laminated/ High density massive (HD/HDM)</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Bottom: gradual Top: sharp</td>
<td>4mm thick laminae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density laminated (HDI)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp Top: sharp</td>
<td>Undulated bottom contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix supported (Ms)</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp Top: sharp</td>
<td>High density coarse matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density laminated (HDI)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp Top: sharp</td>
<td>Undulated bottom contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density laminated/ Low density massive (LDI/LDmm)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp Top: sharp</td>
<td>2mm thick laminae, bioturbated, normal grading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density laminated/ high density laminated (LDI/HDI)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp Top: sharp</td>
<td>3mm thick laminae, bioturbated, reverse grading, high rhythmicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density laminated/ Low density massive (LDI/LDmm)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp Top: gradual</td>
<td>Bioturbated, reverse grading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix supported (Ms)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Bottom: gradual Top: sharp</td>
<td>Low density fine matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density laminated (HDI)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp Top: sharp</td>
<td>Sheet-like appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix supported (Ms)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp Top: sharp</td>
<td>Low density fine matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density laminated (HDI)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bottom: sharp</td>
<td>Deformations caused by the corer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlations

Figure 1 (II) shows qualitative correlations between the CAT-scan imagery and spectrum and the corresponding average acoustic impedance trace. Correlations between the acoustic markers and the CAT-scan imagery does not show clear links. Only one of the six acoustic markers has been identified on the CAT-scan imagery and CAT-scan HU spectrum (figure 1 II)). However, correlations between CAT-scan imagery and the acoustic impedance trace are more revealing; 10 peaks or troughs of the acoustic impedance trace roughly correspond to abrupt changes on the HU spectrums and to CAT-scan facies variations (figure 1 II) ).

The same type of correlations were made at a greater scale of the core between 200 and 86 cm where abrupt facies variations were documented (figure 1 III) ). Seven different facies were observed on this section (table 1). Correlations show that some CAT-scan facies and HU spectrum oscillations match with peaks and troughs of the acoustic impedance trace. The figure 1 III) images sub-facies and facies variations that are ignored by the acoustic impedance trace. Two successive wavelets (wavelets A and B on figure 1 III) ) between 162.1 and 117.22 cm show the same maximum amplitudes; i.e. 241.9. However, their correlations with the HU spectrum and the CAT-scan imagery reveal different facies natures. The peak of wavelet A is represented by a low density laminated/low density massive facies and the peak of wavelet B by a matrix-supported facies.

The first seismic facies (see figure 1 I) ) corresponds on the CAT-scan imagery to three distinct CAT-scan facies. The chaotic reflections are typical of debris flow sedimentation (Hart et al., 1992; Berryhill et al., 1987). The matrix supported facies observed on the CAT-scan imagery are also characteristic of debris flow sedimentation (Ghidaubo, 1992). The ribbon-like textures may represent internal shearing deformation within the failing mass (Major, 1997). The long axis orientation of the coarse grain particles have been previously interpreted by Major (1998) as an evidence of shearing caused by the stacking of the different flow pulsations in a debris flow. The second seismic facies related to nine CAT-scan facies, is also typical of a debris flow deposition. Nevertheless, the CAT-scan data suggest other sedimentary processes to explain the sedimentation of these facies. High density laminated facies can be attributed to upper-flow regime transport and high density massive facies might be linked to grain flow sedimentation (Shanmugam, 1997; Allen, 1984). The low density laminated and massive facies can represent the settling out of suspended sediment plumes (Galloway, 1998). These plumes are generated when the energy released by a collapsing mass and its subsequent transformation into a debris flow, tends to push the fine and less consolidated sediments outward and upward in the water column. Then, these sediments are deposited from suspension. The third seismic facies includes four different CAT-scan facies. This seismic facies is more coherent with the CAT-scan facies and it translates the stratifications of the upper sediment column even if it includes the top section of the matrix supported facies. The sharp facies contacts which are sometimes undulating are scour surfaces. These scour surfaces represent the passage of various flows which, based on the CAT-scan imagery, are also of different natures.

Discussion

Acoustic impedance is directly related to the sediments density. Seismic traces show density contrasts expressed by amplitude intensity variations. The density is a function of several parameters such as gas content, water content, mineralogy and porosity. All these parameters contribute to the seismic trace attenuation. On the other side, CAT-scan signal is also related to the sediments density. As for the acoustic impedance, the same parameters can play an important role on X-rays linear attenuation. In addition, photoelectric and Compton effects cannot be overlooked in the analysis of CAT-scan response (Dului, 1999). Concerning the photoelectric effect, the chemical composition of the absorbing matter affects the X-ray linear attenuation and is influenced by high atomic number elements. It is particularly the case for carbonate sediments where calcium (Ca) enhances X-ray linear absorption (Boespflug et al., 1995). The Compton effect is characterized by the deviation of a part of the X-ray beam and by the frequency variation of certain rays of the deflected beam. It has been postulated, that the Compton effect can be constraining in high porosity and high gas content media. Neither of the two effects have modified both the CAT-scan imagery and the HU spectrum on core MB01-03 because 1) the source rocks of the area are mainly composed of granite and anorthosite and 2) based on the HU spectrum analysis porosity and gas content are not important (air=-1000 HU and water=0 HU).
The presence of strong tidal currents on the surveyed site has contributed to a lack of accuracy in the core positioning. This lack of accuracy can explain the absence of clear links between the seismic markers and the CAT-scan imagery. As a matter of fact, a more accurate core positioning could avoid the necessity of computing an average seismic trace to match the core location. Knowing the study area is characterized by rapid lateral facies variations (see Duchesne et al., 2003) positioning accuracy is even more important. Thus, the average seismic trace can represent the summation of the acoustic response of these facies variations instead of the core’s different facies acoustic response.

The different resolution of both tools used can also help to clarify the small number of correlations between the seismic markers and the CAT-scan imagery. Even with the high sampling frequency of the survey, amplitude values were recorded every ~15 mm which is ~15 times lower than the HU spectrum and the CAT-scan imagery resolution. It can explain why very-fine stratigraphy highlighted by the CAT-scan analysis could not been resolved by the seismic profiling. These amplitude values were taken at a constant sampling rate which does not encompass amplitude variations over the 15 mm spacing between the sampled values. Thus, the seismic trace cannot image facies variations by means of amplitudes below the sampling rate resolution.

In the present case, the seismic attenuation caused by the water column is not an aggravating factor but geometrical spreading of the seismic impulsion is believed to be more problematic considering the source energy and water column thickness. Geometrical spreading is the second cause of signal attenuation after sound absorption by the sediments (Urick, 1983). Geometrical spreading is directly related to the insonified area which is ~20 m for the surveyed sector. This effect averages out the data resulting in loss of resolution and in generation of artifacts (e.g. seismic facies layering modification) (Mosher and Simpkin, 1999). CAT-scan measurements have a rectangular shape. Seismic measurements taken from any acoustic device are a function of the effective beamwidth of the source which means that a seismic trace includes echoes from a conical volume of sediment (Urick, 1983). Therefore, the source beamwidth geometry may have induced artifacts that can mislead correlation interpretations.

Finally, piston coring does not properly recover the first m or so of sediments. Buckley et al. (1994) have mentioned a series of incidents related to piston coring activity such as foreshortening and stretching of sedimentary units mainly caused by pressure fluctuations inside the core liner. So, when it comes to correlate seismic with CAT-scan data it can generate a correlation offset because the core thickness does not correspond to the “real” depth in the sedimentary column.

Nevertheless, this study has shown that similar mass wasting seismic facies and same amplitude wavelet peaks can correspond to different CAT-scan facies. Consequently, different CAT-scan facies can give the same acoustic response. CAT-scan observations have permitted to explain fine-scale changes in seismic facies. The resolving of mm scale beds has helped to better understand the seismic expression of mass wasting deposits. This paper presented the first step of more extensive study on correlations between seismic and CAT-scan data. Subsequent steps will focus on the correlations of CAT-scan observations of sedimentary facies packages with seismic facies. Moreover, a wider variety of seismic and CAT-scan facies will be analyzed, in order to use fine-scale stratigraphy derived by CAT-scan to determine very-fine seismic analogs.
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Figure 1. Correlations between seismic and CAT-scan facies. I) (1) Correlations between seismic facies and CAT-scan facies (lowercase letters) and (2) position of the seismic markers on the core (capital letters). II Correlations between the average seismic trace, the HU spectrum and CAT-scan facies. Lowercase letters indicate the corresponding seismic facies. See table 1 for symbols signification. III-Small scale correlations between the average seismic trace, the HU spectrum and CAT-scan facies. See table 1 for symbol signification. Lower case b indicates the corresponding seismic facies. Capital letters represent discussed wavelets. Note that the HU spectrum is has not been smoothed with a wavelet transform.