

## Assessment of a Carbonate Aquifer for CO<sub>2</sub> Geological Storage in the Wabamun Lake Area

Karsten Michael, Stefan Bachu, and Beate E. Buschkuehle  
Alberta Geological Survey, Edmonton, AB, Canada  
[Karsten.Michaell@eub.ca](mailto:Karsten.Michaell@eub.ca)

### Abstract/Excerpt

A significant number of large CO<sub>2</sub> emitters are located in central Alberta, Canada, including four coal-fired power plants in the Wabamun Lake area (Figure 1), with cumulative annual emissions in the order of 30 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>. To help industry and regulatory agencies in selecting and permitting sites for CO<sub>2</sub> storage, proper characterization is essential, covering the principal aspects of CO<sub>2</sub> storage: capacity, injectivity, and confinement. The sedimentary succession in the Wabamun Lake area southwest of Edmonton was identified as a potential CO<sub>2</sub> storage site because it would minimize transportation needs and costs from the large CO<sub>2</sub> sources in the vicinity. A wealth of data on stratigraphy and lithology, fluid compositions, rock properties, geothermal, geomechanical and pressure regimes was used to create and characterize a comprehensive three-dimensional model of the deep saline aquifers in the area that could be CO<sub>2</sub> storage targets. These have sufficient capacity to accept and store large volumes of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> at the appropriate depth and are overlain by thick confining shale units. One of these targets, the Nisku Formation in the Devonian Winterburn Group, was chosen for a more detailed investigation and modelling of the injection and spread of CO<sub>2</sub> in the subsurface.

The detailed assessment of the Nisku aquifer includes the geological and hydrogeological characterization of the Devonian Nisku Formation, as well as two-phase flow modelling to evaluate the fate of injected CO<sub>2</sub>. A potential injection site was chosen in the center of the study area, in the vicinity of the Keephills and Genesee power plants. Combined annual emissions of these two power plants are in the order of 12.5 Mt based on published 2004 data by Alberta Environment). For the purpose of modelling the CO<sub>2</sub> spread in the subsurface, 30 years of CO<sub>2</sub> injection were assumed.