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Abstract

A collaborative geologic, engineering, and data mining effort has yielded insights into Codell production in the northern DJ. Data mining facilitated the access and download of over 6,500 public domain .las files for modern vertical wells in the Wattenberg/Silo corridor. Raster logs were used to supplement the .las data, net sandstone pay was picked based on a bulk density cutoff of 2.525 gm/cc, and a grid was constructed using values from over 8,000 wells. Using the top of the Codell and the base of net sandstone pay as depth limits, and an 8 - 25% density porosity calculation range (based on matrix and fluid density of 2.68 and 1.0 gm/cc, respectively), phih was computed in Petra for over 5,000 wells with .las files only, and a phih grid constructed. Both grids were "sampled" to over 900 horizontal Codell producers within the study footprint, and the assigned petrophysical values were cross-plotted against length-normalized production data. Phih correlates better than net sandstone with length-normalized production. However, both correlations vary with geographic area, and break down to some extent outside of Wattenberg Field. Normalized production in the Silo, Fairway-Brensee, and Redtail areas displays relatively poor correlation with net sandstone and phih. In contrast, the Codell horizontal production in all areas (including Wattenberg) shows a consistent, inverse, correlation with water-oil ratios from vertical and horizontal producers, suggesting an important role for thermal maturity in Codell productive potential. Cross-plots of normalized production with hydrocarbon pore volume show the best overall correlation, and support the hypothesis that thermal maturity may be a more important production driver than mechanical reservoir properties in some areas. This conclusion informs the consideration of Codell sourcing, and whether migrated portions of the play may exist. While mainly a subject for follow-on study, preliminary analysis of elemental Uranium log data (from over 300 .las files) has also been conducted for this study. The analysis outlines possible subdivision of the play
into thermal maturity categories, even within Wattenberg. The northern DJ Codell play has evolved in a very rich data environment, with respect to both geologic and engineering data. Optimization and expansion of the play will surely benefit from further analysis of this wealth of existing data.
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*from Domenick “Hot Play” Symposium Presentation, September 2016*
Codell Background

and

Production Metrics
“Codell” in 5 Producing Areas . . .

Niobrara Structure
C.I. = 50 ft

Horizontal Production
(total EUR in BOE)
- Codell (boe)
- Niobrara (boe)
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After Lewis, 2013
Regional Stratigraphy

Cross-section index map showing Late Middle Turonian paleogeography (below the green unconformity)

Restored stratigraphic section for lower part of Cretaceous from central Wyoming to central Kansas
Production Metrics

- EURs calculated for ~800 Codell horizontal producers (thanks Kent) and compared to early production
- Differences in reporting, and constraint of early production at wellhead, limit the utility of maximum month data

6-month cumulative (BOE) data show a good log-normal correlation with calculated EURs (BOE)
Adequate data over a wide range of lateral lengths

EURs of \textit{1 million} boe not un-common for 2-mile laterals

Production increases with lateral length on a semi-logarithmic basis
Norm Production v Lat Length

- Better economics, but lower production efficiency for extended laterals on a unit-length basis

Prob Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codell EUR</th>
<th>Mboe</th>
<th>Mboe/mile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P10 =</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50 =</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P90 =</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanson</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arith</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n =</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study Methodology
\[ D_{por} = \frac{(\rho_{M} - \rho_{B})}{(\rho_{M} - \rho_{F})} \]

Assume:
- \( \rho_{M} = 2.68 \)
- \( \rho_{F} = 1.00 \)

CAVEAT EMPTOR: \( \rho_{F} \) (and \( \rho_{M} \)) vary across study area . . .
Don’t include Carlile carbonate or shale

Beware fractures, mainly in Carlile

Use mineralogic and lithologic indicators

*Type Wells*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noble Walcker USX AB 1-14P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ft Hayes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Codell</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carlile</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RhoB</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.525 gm/cc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50 ft

**Codell Prod**

21 Type wells

1028 Hz

7471 Vert
Net Sandstone Distribution

\[ D_{por} = 9.2\% \]
\[ (Rho_B = 2.525) \]
\[ (Rho_M = 2.68) \]
\[ (Rho_F = 1.00) \]

Net Sand picked in
~ 8,500 vertical wells
6,000 LAS files
2,500 Rasters
Results
**Sampled Net Sand Grid to ~900 Hz Codell Wells with Known Lateral Length:**

- **Net Sandstone > 9% porosity (ft)**
  - Grid Net Sandstone > 9% porosity (ft)
  - 6-mo cum
  - EUR

- **Codell Horizontal Production**
  - 6-mo cum/mi (boe)
  - 50 mboe/mi = 5,000 ft radius
PhiH calculated for 5,200 wells, grid sampled to Codell horizontals:
Codell WOR mapped, HCPV calculated using hz well So and grid PhiH:

Production per mile (BOE)

HCPV (ft)

6-mo cum
EUR

50 mboe/mi = 5,000 ft radius
Some under-performance by best quality rock
Water saturation largely accounts for this
Well vintage also a factor
Yogi’s Conclusion: 6 Slices, or 8?
"Yogi" Prospect (Hypothetical Prospect/Real Wattenberg)

EUR/mile versus Net Sandstone (37 Wells Plotted)
“90% of this game is half-mental”

Rock Quality has Anticipated Effect on Production

How Do We Best Assess Effects of Source Maturity and Area HCPV?

THINK GLOBALLY, ACT LOCALLY, and Stay Tuned for PART 2