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Abstract

Aera (Shell/Exxon JV) is currently executing a conventional exploration campaign for remaining prospectivity in the Temblor fold and thrust belt at the western edge of the San Joaquin Basin, central California. This basin is an extremely mature hydrocarbon province, producing for more than a century from thousands of wells. Extensive new 3D seismic acquisition and processing efforts by Aera have resulted in significant uplift in the imaging of the fold-thrust belt. In spite of these advances, imaging of the deep structure of the fold and thrust belt remains challenging. In this talk, we will present a new and comprehensive structural framework that has been developed in support of the exploration campaign. Abundant well data, detailed surface geological maps, and seismic data have been integrated into a series of kinematically constrained cross-sections through the areas of interest. These sections allow us to describe the complex structural geometries and evolution of the basin, which involved an initial phase of normal faulting, followed and completely overprinted by a presently ongoing contractional phase of deformation. The latter is well expressed in the seismic data by folding at multiple scales, ranging from east-directed passive roof basement wedging to thrusting and folding above multiple shallower detachments identified throughout the stratigraphic section. The former is recorded in well data as abrupt thickness changes between areas of gentle thickness gradients and little folding, which is interpreted to reflect syndepositional extensional deformation.
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Definitions & cautionary note

The companies in which Royal Dutch Shell plc directly and indirectly owns investments are separate legal entities. In this presentation “Shell”, “Shell group” and “Royal Dutch Shell” are sometimes used for convenience where references are made to Royal Dutch Shell plc and its subsidiaries in general. Likewise, the words “we”, “us” and “our” are also used to refer to subsidiaries in general or to those who work for them. These expressions are also used where no useful purpose is served by identifying the particular company or companies. “Subsidiaries”, “Shell subsidiaries” and “Shell companies” as used in this presentation refer to companies over which Royal Dutch Shell plc either directly or indirectly has control. Entities and unincorporated arrangements over which Shell has joint control are generally referred to as “joint ventures” and “joint operations” respectively. Entities over which Shell has significant influence but neither control nor joint control are referred to as “associates”. The term “Shell interest” is used for convenience to indicate the direct and/or indirect ownership interest held by Shell in a venture, partnership or company, after exclusion of all third-party interest.

This presentation contains forward-looking statements concerning the financial condition, results of operations and businesses of Royal Dutch Shell. All statements other than statements of historical fact are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are statements of future expectations that are based on management’s current expectations and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in these statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning the potential exposure of Royal Dutch Shell to market risks and statements expressing management’s expectations, beliefs, estimates, forecasts, projections and assumptions. These forward-looking statements are identified by their use of terms and phrases such as “anticipate”, “believe”, “could”, “estimate”, “expect”, “goals”, “intend”, “may”, “objectives”, “outlook”, “plan”, “probably”, “project”, “risks”, “schedule”, “seek”, “should”, “target”, “will” and similar terms and phrases. There are a number of factors that could affect the future operations of Royal Dutch Shell and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements included in this presentation, including (without limitation): (a) price fluctuations in crude oil and natural gas; (b) changes in demand for Shell’s products; (c) currency fluctuations; (d) drilling and production results; (e) reserves estimates; (f) loss of market share and industry competition; (g) environmental and physical risks; (h) risks associated with the identification of suitable potential acquisition properties and targets, and successful negotiation and completion of such transactions; (i) the risk of doing business in developing countries and countries subject to international sanctions; (j) legislative, fiscal and regulatory developments including regulatory measures addressing climate change; (k) economic and financial market conditions in various countries and regions; (l) political risks, including the risks of expropriation and renegotiation of the terms of contracts with governmental entities, delays or advancements in the approval of projects and delays in the reimbursement for shared costs; and (m) changes in trading conditions. No assurance is provided that future dividend payments will match or exceed previous dividend payments. All forward-looking statements contained in this presentation are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Additional risk factors that may affect future results are contained in Royal Dutch Shell’s Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2016 (available at [www.shell.com/investor](http://www.shell.com/investor) and [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov)). These risk factors also expressly qualify all forward-looking statements contained in this presentation and should be considered by the reader. Each forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of this presentation, [DATE]. Neither Royal Dutch Shell plc nor any of its subsidiaries undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or other information. In light of these risks, results could differ materially from those stated, implied or inferred from the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation.

We may have used certain terms, such as resources, in this presentation that United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) strictly prohibits us from including in our filings with the SEC. U.S. investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure in our Form 20-F, File No 1-32575, available on the SEC website [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). You can also obtain this form from the SEC by calling 1-800-SEC-0330.
Outline of this Talk

- Geological setting of Aera Energy’s exploration acreage in California
- The challenges of seismic imaging in the San Joaquin Basin and Temblor Range
- New structural interpretations
- Implications for exploration
- Conclusions
San Joaquin Basin, Southern Central California

- Prolific basin with 100+ years of production
- Most recent discovery by Occidental Petroleum (Gunslinger, 2009)
- Aera Energy (Shell / Exxon JV) conventional exploration program since 2010
Geological Setting and Exploration AOI

Target Reservoirs

- Pleistocene
  - Tulare
  - San Joaquin
  - Ettchegoin
  - Reef Ridge
- Pliocene
  - Tulare
  - San Joaquin
  - Ettchegoin
  - Reef Ridge Shale
- Miocene
  - Tulare
  - San Joaquin
  - Ettchegoin
  - Reef Ridge Shale
  - Antelope Shale
- Oligocene
  - Tulare
  - San Joaquin
  - Ettchegoin
  - Reef Ridge Shale
  - Agua Sands
  - Lower Santos
  - Point of Rocks

Extension

- Extension
- Compression

3D seismic survey

Producing fields

San Andreas fault

Existing penetrations
Data Integration

- Excellent geological maps (Tom Diblee & others), DEM, bedding dips
- Selected wells, dipmeter, seismic
- Field observations
- ~20 semibalanced sections constructed (regional & prospect scale)
Seismic Imaging: a Huge Challenge

- Early volume used for initial interpretation
- Many challenges including rough terrain, subvertical dips, low velocity contrasts
Seismic Reprocessing: Encouraging but no Silver Bullet

- Significant re-processing effort in collaboration between Aera and Shell’s processing centre of expertise
- Better resolution and more realistic geometries
A Complex Regional Structural Framework

1. Early syndepositional normal faulting → abrupt changes in stratigraphic thickness
2. Subsequent contractional deformation
   - Large scale basement involved anticlines with steep / vertical limbs
   - Folding above multiple detachments
   - Franciscan mélangé folding & imbrications
   - Thrust faults / folding
1) Oligo-Miocene Normal Faults, Folded and Tilted by Later Contraction

- Are never imaged in seismic
- Are recorded in well data, primarily, as abrupt thickness changes between areas of gentle thickness gradients and little folding.
2a) Large scale anticlines with steep / overturned limbs, often inferred but never imaged

Note overturned dips at TD contradicting seismic reflectors

“Out-of-the-syncline” fold on steep limb

Early normal faults, tilted and folded
Maintaining along-strike consistency helps constrain interpretations

- Integration of well and seismic along serial cross-sections
- Steep to overturned flanks consistently seen throughout the AOI
2b) Small scale parasitic folds on flanks of large anticlines

Field example, and model for out-of-syncline fold on limb of major anticline (Zagros, Iran)
A Paradigm Shift in Exploration Focus

- Steep flanks, not thrust faults
- Could these steep flanks harbor small undetected anticlines?
- No direct image, so less likely to have been discovered by previous exploration efforts
Conclusions

- The complexity of the San Joaquin basin and Temblor Range cannot be solved with seismic alone, but requires a truly integrated and multidisciplinary approach (seismic, wells, dipmeter, outcrop, DEM, etc…)

- A plausible interpretation must recognize multiple phases of deformation and address the geometric implications of structural overprinting
  
  a) Oligo-Miocene normal faulting
  
  b) Miocene-Present contractional deformation

- The new structural model suggests that exploration running room in the SJB may be found as 4-way closures in the poorly imaged flanks of the major anticlines
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