

PS Facilitating Shale Play Development and Water Management - Meeting the Need for Brine Disposal Wells in Pennsylvania*

Dan A. Billman¹ and Dale E. Skoff²

Search and Discovery Article #80413 (2014)**

Posted October 27, 2014

*Adapted from poster presentation given at AAPG 43rd Eastern Section Meeting, London, Ontario, Canada, September 27-30, 2014

**AAPG©2014 Serial rights given by author. For all other rights contact author directly.

¹Billman Geologic Consultants (BGC) Inc., Mars, PA, USA (danaret@zoominternet.net)

²Tetra Tech, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, USA (dale.skoff@tetrattech.com)

Abstract

Marcellus Shale exploration and production operations in Pennsylvania generate large quantities of flowback and produced water. With continued Marcellus shale development, as well as Genesee/Burket Shale and Utica Shale exploration, Pennsylvania is poised to be a major player in shale development for decades to come. Although there is a strong increasing trend in recycling, not all flowback and produced waters can be cost-effectively recycled due to water chemistry, a specific company's lack of a nearby new well or pad at which the water can be recycled and other factors. Brine disposal wells have an important role to play in managing such waters in a cost-effective and environmentally protective manner. The need for brine disposal wells in Pennsylvania is expected to increase as the Marcellus and other shale plays mature and potentially tens of thousands of new wells begin generating produced water on a daily basis. Although currently there are only seven permitted brine disposal wells operating in Pennsylvania, with only two of these being commercial wells, there is potential to develop many additional brine disposal wells within or near Marcellus Shale fields and other producing areas.

The authors will provide an overview of the status of currently permitted brine disposal wells in Pennsylvania and nearby states, most of which have substantially more wells than Pennsylvania. Potential target formations for brine disposal in Pennsylvania will be discussed along with procedures for identifying and evaluating specific candidate injection well sites. An overview of EPA brine disposal well permit application procedures will also be presented along with a summary of well construction and operating requirements. A case study on the Bear Lake Properties commercial injection well facility, which injects into depleted Medina/Whirlpool Sandstone intervals, will be included. Ranges in capital and operation and maintenance (O&M) costs and the economics of utilizing brine disposal wells relative to other available options (e.g., water treatment plants) will also be discussed.

Facilitating Shale Play Development and Water Management - Meeting the Need for Brine Disposal Wells in Pennsylvania


Dan A. Billman¹ and Dale E. Skoff²

¹Billman Geologic Consultants, Inc., Mars, PA 16046, dan@billmangeologic.com
²Tetra Tech, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA 15212, dale.skoff@tetrattech.com


Marcellus Shale exploration and production operations in Pennsylvania generate large quantities of flowback and produced water. With continued Marcellus shale development, as well as, Genesee/Burket Shale and Utica Shale exploration, Pennsylvania is poised to be a major player in shale development for decades to come. Although there is a strong increasing trend in recycling, not all flowback and produced waters can be cost-effectively recycled due to water chemistry, a specific companies lack of a nearby new well or pad at which the water can be recycled and other factors. Brine disposal wells have an important role to play in managing such waters in a cost-effective and environmentally protective manner. The need for brine disposal wells in Pennsylvania is expected to increase as the Marcellus and other shale plays mature and potentially tens of thousands of new wells begin generating produced water on a daily basis. Although currently there are only seven permitted brine disposal wells operating in Pennsylvania, with only two of these being commercial wells, there is potential to develop many additional brine disposal wells within or near Marcellus Shale fields and other producing areas.

The authors will provide an overview of the status of currently permitted brine disposal wells in Pennsylvania and nearby states, most of which have substantially more wells than Pennsylvania. Potential target formations for brine disposal in Pennsylvania will be discussed along with procedures for identifying and evaluating specific candidate injection well sites. An overview of EPA brine disposal well permit application procedures will also be presented along with a summary of well construction and operating requirements. A case study on the Bear Lake Properties commercial injection well facility, which injects into depleted Medina/Whirlpool Sandstone intervals, will be included. Ranges in capital and operation and maintenance (O&M) costs and the economics of utilizing brine disposal wells relative to other available options (e.g., water treatment plants) will also be discussed.

Marcellus Shale activity in the Appalachian Basin mapped with All Current Brine Disposal Wells




Marcellus Shale activity in the Appalachian Basin mapped with Current Commercial Brine Disposal Wells




Brine Movement toward Commercial Disposal Wells



All Current Brine Disposal Wells and Permitted Wells in Pennsylvania



Potential Formations for Salt Water Disposal Consideration in Pennsylvania



Need for Brine Disposal Wells in Pennsylvania
Forecast of Flowback and Produced Water



Identifying Target Areas and Formations


- Determine Viable Transportation Distances (via truck, rail, barge or pipeline) from Your Water Sources.
- Identify Potential Target Formations.
 - Existing brine disposal wells in the area?
 - Depleted oil and gas wells / fields in the area?
 - Drilling depths, Disposal depths and Cost considerations?
- Identify local faulting, to better understand possible seismicity issues.
- Identify Existing Production. Or, Avoidance of Watering Out Existing Production
- Injectivity Testing to Confirm Viability.

EPA UIC Well Permit Application

- Define Area of Review/Zone of Endangerment
- USDW Description
- Geologic conditions related to Induced Seismicity
- Injection well construction
- Well operation including maximum injection pressure and rates
- Plugging and abandonment
- Financial Responsibility

UIC Permit - Area of Review

Plan View of Area of Review



The Underground Source of Drinking Water (USDW) and Brine Disposal



Comparison of PA, OH and WV UIC Class IID Well Permitting

State	Primacy	Area of Review (AOR)	Maximum Injection Pressure (MIP) Basis	Seismicity Evaluation	Approx. Timeframe*
PA	EPA	Calculated based on 10 year injection scenario. Default 1/4 mi.	ISIP From Frac; consider SG of brine; Frac gradient of 0.733 if no ISIP	Considered in EPA review.	8 months to 16 months
OH	State	<200 bbl/d - 1/4 mi; >200 bbl/d - 1/2 mi	Frac gradient of 0.75 psi/ft	Yes	3 to 6 months
WV	State	1/4 mi or calculated	Frac gradient of 0.8 psi/ft; 90% of breakdown pressure may be approved	Yes	3 to 6 months

*From application submittal to final permit

INJECTIVITY TESTING

- Step-Rate Test
 - Establish optimal rate for constant rate test
 - ISIP data can help regarding Maximum Injection Pressure (MIP)
- Constant Rate Test
 - Injection – establish radial flow
 - Pressure Falloff monitoring
 - Data Evaluation - permeability, injection pressures, rates, AOR, boundaries, etc.
- Valuable tool but may have limitations in predicting long term performance



Brine Disposal Wells - \$/Bbl Cost






Capital Cost (\$1000s)	O&M (\$1000s)	Total Cost 15 years (\$1000s)	Approx. \$/Bbl by Injection Rate*		
			500 Bbl/d	1000 Bbl/d	2000 Bbl/d
1000	150	3250	\$1.67	\$0.83	\$0.42
1500	150	3750	\$1.92	\$0.96	\$0.48
2000	150	4250	\$2.18	\$1.09	\$0.54

*Assumes 260 operating days per year

Case Study: Bear Lake Properties Brine Disposal Permits Warren County, Pennsylvania

Summary of Bear Lake Brine Disposal Properties

- Depleted Medina/Whirlpool gas well field
- Over 11,000 acres
- 2 Commercial UIC Well permits, currently accepting brine for disposal, with a third well being permitted
- 30,000 bbl/mo/well permitted capacity
- Thru May 2014, 120,000 Bbls. brine disposed of at ~700 Bbls. per day (not operating at full capacity)
- Approx. 20 wells could potentially be converted to injection
- Est. 300 million Bbls. capacity within the potential injection field



SUMMARY OF BEAR LAKE SWD FIELD

- The two-well field is conveniently located within the Marcellus and Utica Shale fairways.
- Injection interval includes the Medina and Whirlpool Sandstones.
- The Silurian Salina Group (salts and anhydrites) serve as a confining interval for disposal.
- The field is currently in operation, taking brines from oil and gas production operations.

CONCLUSIONS

- Strong understanding of the reservoir system is key to geologic siting of a possible SWD project.
- Geologic insight into the structural geology, as it relates to possible seismicity issues.
- Can be a very cost-effective and safe option for brine disposal management
- Underutilized in PA – only 10 wells permitted with many additional wells needed
- There are various potential injection targets which may vary locally/regionally
- Depleted oil or gas wells/fields can be “low hanging fruit”
- Siting and public education strategies may help in addressing public opposition issues

THANKS

- Thanks to Bear Lakes Properties , Karl Kimmich and John Holko for allowing us to use their data as our case study
- Special thanks to Suzanne Paxton and Steve Hughes of Tetra Tech