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Abstract

In this study, the structural system, and the seismic interpretation of Middle Cretaceous in JK Field in Southeast Muglad basin were interpreted using 3-D seismic data volume, well logs, and seismic attributes. The main use of seismic attributes was to create an intuitive display that allows an interpretation task to be performed both more efficiently and more effectively in characterizing structural and depositional settings of JK field in Southeast Muglad basin.

To demonstrate structural setting of the study area 3D seismic data were interpreted. Three main seismic reflectors, three horizons, were picked. The most dominant structure in the area is the north-northwest, south-southeast-trending normal faults and northeast-southwest-trending minor normal faults were developed in Middle Cretaceous; later east-west faults were active in Late Cretaceous when the hydrocarbons were trapped. Most of the older faults face towards northeast and the younger faults face towards northwest-forming structural traps, where hydrocarbons are trapped. Formation tops in the JK field, three layers, were picked based on petrophysical well logs, and maps were prepared on each. The results of this part of the study show that the interbedded sandstones with shale of the three formations, in particular the sandstones, were formed in meandering channels of delta system. Shaly units of the three formations, interpreted as potential seals, are of variable thickness and extent. The reservoir is the braided-stream sandstones of Bentiu Formation, and the top seal is the fluvial shale of Aradeiba Formation. Most of the traps are normal fault blocks with high dependency on the lateral seal across the faults. The mutual positions of source, reservoir, and top seal were associated with a higher risk in the lateral seal.

Seismic interpretation of the area shows that the three main seismic facies correspond to the three stratigraphic formations above the basement. Some distributary channel-fill features were also observed within the Bentiu Formation, but they are not continuous through the area because of the faulting. These elements give reasonable explanation for the hydrocarbon distribution in the area of study and explain why in some wells there were no hydrocarbon shows.
Structural and Stratigraphic 3D Seismic Interpretation of Middle Cretaceous in South East Muglad Basin, South Sudan

Prepared by: Dorar Hamdoba Elshaikh Saror
Geophysicist

23-April-2013 Pacific Section AAPG, SPE and SEPM Joint Technical Conference
Contents

• Objective
• Methodology
• Muglad Basin
• Variance slices
• Seismic Cross-Section Analysis
• Well Correlation
• Depth Maps
• Attribute Maps
• Structural Conclusion
• Stratigraphic Conclusion
Objectives

• To understand the stratigraphy of reservoir zones in JK field in SE Muglad Basin.

• To understand some of the hydrocarbon potential areas in the reservoir formations and sediment supply.
Methodology

• Identify the structures using 3D seismic interpretation of the field.

• To use seismic stratigraphic and structural attributes with wells petrophysical results to define the seal, reservoir and source criteria for each formation.
Introduction to Muglad Basin
And JK field area
**Aradeiba Formation**

- Aradeiba is interbedded sandstone and claystone (seal).

**Bentiu Formation**

- Bentiu is the main (reservoir).

**Abu Gabra Formation**

- Abu Gabra acts as the (source) rock claystone (rich organic).
Gravity Map of Southeast Muglad Basin JK field

JK is located in the eastern flank of the northern part of Muglad Basin

JK 3D field

M 3D field
  • Bentiu producing oil field
  • And Intra Bentiu oil

T 3D field
  • Bentiu producing oil field
JK Location

- **Location**
  - 50 km north of T Producing field.
  - 20 km north of M Producing field

- **Area coverage**
  - 3D Seismic Data
    - JK 392 sq km.
    - 2044 Inlines
    - 800 Crosslines

- **Data**
  - 9 Exploration Petrophysical Wells results.

- **Software**
  - PETREL seismic interpretation Package.
Horizon and Fault Interpretation
Variance Slices

Fault Detection
Fault Boundary "green" in Bentiu Variance slice at 1500 m/s
Fault Boundary “Blue” in Intra Bentiu Variance slice at 1700 ms
Fault Boundary “yellow” in Abu Gabra Variance slice at 1800 ms
Seismic Cross Section Analysis
Well #2 seismic cross section
Well #6 seismic cross section
Well #7 seismic cross section
Seismic cross section between well#7 and well#8
Seismic cross section between well#7 and well#8
Well #8 seismic cross section
Crossline seismic cross section
Well Correlation

The use of well correlation to data
- Gamma logs
- Formation tops
Well Correlation
Depth Maps
Bentiu Depth Structure
Intra Bentiu Depth Structure
Abu Gabra Depth Structure
Attribute Analysis
Well Correlation

The use of well correlation to data
• Gamma logs
• Formation tops
Well result:
1. Oil
2. Oil
3. Oil
4. Oil
5. Oil
6. Oil
7. Water
8. Oil
Intra Bentiu Average Energy

Well result:
1. Water
2. Water
3. Water
4. NP.
5. Water
6. Water
7. Water
8. NP.
Abu Gabra Average Energy

Well result:
1. Water
2. Water
3. Water
4. NP.
5. Water
6. Poor Oil
7. Oil
8. NP.
RMS (root mean square) amplitude

A post-stack attribute that computes the square root of the sum of squared amplitudes divided by the number of samples within the specified window used. With this, one can measure reflectivity in order to map direct hydrocarbon indicators in a zone of interest. However, RMS is sensitive to noise as it squares every value within the window.

$$x_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \right)}$$
Well result:
1. Oil
2. Oil
3. Oil
4. Oil
5. Oil
6. Oil
7. Water
8. Oil
Well result:
1. Water
2. Water
3. Water
4. NP.
5. Water
6. Water
7. Water
8. NP.
Well result:
1. Water
2. Water
3. Water
4. NP.
5. Water
6. Poor Oil
7. Oil
8. NP.
Maximum magnitude

A post-stack attribute that computes the maximum value of the absolute value of the amplitudes within a window. This can be used to map the strongest direct hydrocarbon indicator within a zone of interest.
Well result:
1. Oil
2. Oil
3. Oil
4. Oil
5. Oil
6. Oil
7. Water
8. Oil
Well result:
1. Water
2. Water
3. Water
4. NP.
5. Water
6. Water
7. Water
8. NP.
Well result:
1. Water
2. Water
3. Water
4. NP.
5. Water
6. Poor Oil
7. Oil
8. NP.
Bentiu Reservoir Formation

Sediment Supply Direction
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Max Magnitude

RMS
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Bentiu Reservoir Formation
Intra Bentiu different attributes

Max Magnitude  RMS  Average Energy
Abu Gabra different attributes

Stratigraphic Traps – Pinch-out structure
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Based on this study, it can be concluded that:

- The area has sets of NNW-SSE fault pattern, the older faults were developed in Late Cretaceous time, followed by EW faulting which were active in Mid to Early Cretaceous time.

- Most of the older faults face towards NE and the younger faults face towards NW.

- The expected sediment supply was from NE direction, based on features of the Abu Gabra.
Stratigraphic Conclusions

• From seismic cross-section of the field, the Bentiu thickens towards the northwest and thins towards the southeast; the former is expected direction of the sediment supply to the basin.

• At Abu Gabra (source) level, the interpretation identifies some potential structural and stratigraphic traps (pinch-out structure); it is possible that the Abu Gabra may be a target for "unconventional" exploration.
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