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Abstract

Seismic interpretation of stratigraphy and sedimentology is frequency or scale dependent. Classic seismic stratigraphy utilizes reflection patterns to identify depositional sequences and stratigraphic relationships. Further analysis of seismic facies (shape, configuration, amplitude, and continuity) leads to interpretation of lithology, facies, and depositional history of sequences. For study of large-scale sequences (hundreds of meters) where details are not crucial, this approach is highly effective. For high-resolution interpretation of depositional sequences (meters to tens of meters), however, details are key, and seismic-interpretation strategy should be changed accordingly.

Seismic events are a function of wavelet (frequency and phase) and acoustic impedance (AI) profile. Seismic interferences, or amplitude and frequency tuning effects, determine occurrence of seismic events and relationships among these events or seismic facies. By adjusting seismic frequency and phase, one can intentionally modify seismic facies to a certain degree. For high-resolution study, this adjustment may help optimize interpretation by reconditioning seismic events such that they selectively show thickness and AI distribution, stratigraphy, or depositional facies, depending on one’s purpose.

Tests on model and field data clearly reveal the value of frequency-dependent seismic stratigraphy in reservoir-level interpretation of sequences. For example, an erosional surface (e.g., an incised valley) or a lithofacies of certain thickness may occur on a section only in a selected frequency band but “disappear” in other bands. A thin, lowstand deltaic system may be observed as high amplitude/high-continuity facies in low-frequency bands but as low-amplitude/low-continuity facies in high-frequency bands. Broadbanded seismic traces tend to correlate better with wireline lithology/porosity logs in wells, especially when thickness and AI have significant lateral changes, whereas narrow-banded traces seem more closely to follow stratal surfaces. As a result, use of the multiple-band display would reduce ambiguity of geologic interpretation and risk in drilling.
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Outline

Frequency dependency of:

1. Seismic events
2. Seismic facies
3. Interpretation of stratigraphy, depositional systems, and reservoirs
Factors that control appearance of sequences and seismic facies

1. Reflection interference patterns (what seismic events and architectures look like)

2. Thin-bed tuning
   - Thickness tuning
   - Frequency tuning
Thickness tuning and frequency tuning

Thickness tuning

*Thickness*  \(\rightarrow\)  Frequency tuning

- Fixed frequency (wavelet)
- Fixed thickness

Tuning Point

- Sand
- Shale
Reflection pattern and amplitude as a function of both thickness and frequency

Geologic/Al Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACIES1</th>
<th>FACIES2</th>
<th>FACIES1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ai1 ≈ Ai2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Factors that control frequency tuning

1. Predominant frequency
2. Bandwidth
Predominant frequency vs. seismic (30–55 Hz)

86 Hz

1 km
Bandwidth vs. seismic (same predominant frequency)

Band 1 — poststack

1 km
Consequences of frequency dependency

1. *Time transgression*: a seismic event may or may not follow geologic time surfaces

2. *Uncertainty of seismic facies*: changes in frequency *(not geology!)* may lead to different seismic facies
Frequency dependency of geologic time-line

Low frequency—lithofacies

High frequency—time units
Frequency dependency of seismic facies
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Frequency dependency of seismic facies (cont.)
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Seismic facies: selective imaging governed by thickness/frequency tuning

Thickness tuning

Frequency tuning
Tools for selective imaging

Amplitude-versus-frequency (AVF)
Simple form: panel filtering

- Digital filtering (FFT and others)
- Spectral decomposition
- Wavelet transform
Expand data to a new dimension!

2D

3D

AVF
Application: selective imaging of:

1. **Geologic-time surfaces**
2. **Seismic sedimentology/geomorphology**
3. **Seismic facies** for geobody
4. **High-freq. sequence stratigraphy**
1. Scan for erosional surface (SB)

Poststack

SB

500 m 100 ms

20 Hz
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2. Seismic sedimentology (stratal slice)

(d) 50-Hz Dominant frequency

- Levee/crevasse
- Crevasse sandstone channel

Tuning thickness 26 m

Zeng (2007)
3. Seismic facies for identifying depositional elements

**Log pattern:**
Upward-coarsening, deltaic sediments

**Expected seismic facies:**
Clinoforms, lobes, channel form

---
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4. Sequence Stratigraphy: shelf edge

- Systems tracts on stratal slice

- Sequence model (Hentz & Zeng 2003)

- Seismic correlation
High-frequency sequences/systems tracts: wireline-log correlation
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High-frequency sequences/systems tracts: verified by seismic
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Conclusions

1. Seismic tuning includes thickness tuning and frequency tuning. *Frequency tuning is underutilized.*

2. Both seismic events (surfaces) and seismic facies are frequency dependent.

3. Seismic stratigraphy is incomplete (half done) if its frequency dependency has not been studied.
Challenges and future work

1. Frequency dependency as a function of lithology, rock properties, and water saturation
2. Frequency dependency of seismic attributes
3. Better data processing and interpretation tools
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