Aquifer Systems in Southern Ontario: Hydrogeological Considerations for Well Drilling and Plugging

Terry R. Carter¹, Lee Fortner¹, Mitchell E. Skuce², and Fred J. Longstaffe²

Abstract

Three groundwater regimes have been identified in the Paleozoic bedrock terrain of southern Ontario based on geological and geochemical criteria: a shallow fresh water regime, an intermediate depth brackish sulphur water (dissolved H₂S) regime and a deep brine regime. The shallow fresh water regime is an active, topography-driven flow system. Fresh water is encountered in petroleum and water wells up to 250 metres below the surface. Fresh water occurs in unconsolidated glacial and post-glacial sediments overlying Paleozoic bedrock, as a regional contact aquifer at the interface between the sediments and the bedrock, and in karstic Paleozoic limestones and dolostones in areas of thin drift. Individual aquifers in glacial drift are generally unconfined to partly confined, forming complex, local systems with limited lateral extent. The contact aquifer extends beneath most of southern Ontario and is the source of potable water for a large proportion of domestic water wells in southern Ontario. It has only recently been possible to map the geographic extent of the karst aquifer system and detailed studies have yet to be initiated.

Aquifers in the intermediate to deep regimes are contained in several discrete regional paleokarst horizons developed in limestones and dolostones at regional unconformities. Aquifers are generally thin, and are confined between thick aquitards of shale, evaporites and non-karstic limestone and dolostone. All of these confined aquifers display downdip geochemical zonation from fresh water at the subcrop edge to brines in the deep subsurface, separated by an intermediate zone of brackish sulphur water. Buoyancy effects related to the presence of deep subsurface brines probably prevent deep penetration of fresh water. At least one active flow system has been documented in the sulphur water system, confirmed by meteoric water signatures in oxygen and hydrogen isotopic compositions.

Unique isotopic signatures have been identified for the deep brines in each confined aquifer, indicating very long residence times and no active flow in the deep brine regime.

References Cited

Armstrong, D.K., and Carter, T.R. 2010. The subsurface Paleozoic stratigraphy of southern Ontario. Ontario Geological Survey, Special Volume 7, 301 p.

Brunton, F.R., and Dodge, J.E.P., 2008. Karst of southern Ontario and Manitoulin Island; Ontario Geological Survey, Groundwater Resources Study 5.

¹Petroleum Operations, Ministry of Natural Resources, London, ON, Canada

²The University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada

Carter, T.R. 2012. Regional groundwater systems in southern Ontario; in Ontario Oil & Gas 2012, Ontario Petroleum Institute, p.44-48.

Carter, T.R., and Fortner, L. 2012. Regional bedrock aquifers and a geological groundwater model for southern Ontario. International Association of Hydrogeologists, 39th International Congress, Session TH1-G, Abstract 369, p. 238.

Dollar, P.S., Frape, S.K., and McNutt, R.H., 1991. Geochemistry of formation waters, southwestern Ontario, Canada and southern Michigan, USA: Implications for origin and evolution; Ontario Geological Survey, Open File Report 5743.

Hamilton, S. M., 2011. Ambient groundwater geochemistry data for southwestern Ontario 2007–2010. Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Release Data 283.

Sharpe, D.R., Piggott, A., Carter, T.R., Gerber, R.E., MacRitchie, S.M., de Loe, R., Strynatka, S. and Zwiers, G. 2013. Southern Ontario hydrogeological region, p.464-519, in Rivera, A. (editor), Canada's Groundwater Resources, Fitzhenry and Whiteside, Canada, 824 p.

Weaver, T.R., 1994. Groundwater flow and solute transport in shallow Devonian bedrock formations and overlying Pleistocene units, Lambton County, southwestern Ontario; unpublished PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, Canada.