Multi-Attribute Analysis of Six Upper Cretaceous and Jurassic Structural Closures in Belo Profond, Morondava Basin, Offshore West Madagascar*

Irewole Ayodele1, Joyce Smith2, Adeleke Orimolade1, Glen Penfield1, Babafemi Falaye1

Search and Discovery Article #11198 (2019)**
Posted March 11, 2019

*Adapted from poster presentation given at AAPG 2018 Annual Convention & Exhibition, Salt Lake City, Utah, United States, May 20-23, 2018
**Datapages © 2019. Serial rights given by author. For all other rights contact author directly. DOI:10.1306/11198Ayodele2019

1South Atlantic Petroleum Ltd., Lagos, Nigeria
2JECSmith Consulting, Katy, TX, United States (smith.jec@gmail.com)

Abstract

Recent publications have brought attention to hydrocarbon prospectivity in the Morondava Basin off the west coast of Madagascar. This geological and geophysical interpretation yields more detail over an approximately 700 km\(^2\) 3D seismic survey covering a structurally anomalous area 100 km offshore in the Belo Profond. Six prospects were identified as structural closures in the late Jurassic to late Cretaceous formations, and described using RMS amplitude extractions, spectral decomposition, and seismic-limited AVO analyses. Challenged by igneous lithologies, a Bouguer gravity map was applied to discriminate between the bright amplitudes of the prospects and of the intrusive sills that permeate the Early Jurassic units and spread upward through the section.

Distal siliciclastic sediment deposited from the east during the Late Jurassic to Upper Cretaceous in Morondava Basin, captures in its architecture the latent movement on failed Karoo rift faults, and the development of the Davie Ridge, which contributed sediment from the west. Abundant igneous sills lace the 1.5 km of sediment supplied during the drift phase in the Mesozoic, intruding along weak bedding planes and fault surfaces, and underpinning flower structures within the limits of this survey. The presence of intrusive sills increased trap sizes but may have locally impacted the reservoir quality and compartmentalized closures where they migrated up into the reservoir interval. The overlying sedimentation was deposited during the current passive margin structural domain and is comprised of roughly 1 km of sediment thickness. It includes a dramatically thick and extensive Mass Transport Complex, originating to the east and associated with a possible Oligocene/Miocene shelf collapse.

Within the six prospects this study describes, there is little evidence for igneous lithologies. The Belo Profond study area contains considerable hydrocarbon reservoir potential: nearly 2.5 km of sand, shale; sufficiently deep organic material for thermal maturation; structures derived from uplift, erosion, transpression and transtension, and igneous intrusion, creating potential traps; faults and deep seal breaches providing migration pathways. Any fluid escape or leakage in the shallow regional seals across the survey are localized and minimal, given seepage records across the region. The vertically-overlapping stacked prospects appear to be the best area of interest.

CGG ROBERTSON Report, 2D Seismic Data Interpretation, Juan de Nova Maritime Profond and Belo Profond, Mozambique Channel, Volume 1, for SAPETRO.


MORONDAVA BASIN GEOLOGIC CONTEXT

The Morondava Basin is located between the coast of Mozambique and the west coast of Madagascar. Filled with continental deposition throughout the Mesozoic, this area has been the subject of many publications describing its character and prospectivity (Brownfield, et al., 2016; Dirkx, R., et al., 2016; Roberts, et al., 2013).

Belo Profond is a production area within this basin, just south of the Juan de Nova (JDN) area. The Area 3 survey is located on the east side of Belo Profond, and covers nearly 800 km².

A failed rift of Triassic-Karoo sediments comprises the structural basement for the intervals of interest in Area 3. These extensional faults form the basis for later fracturing throughout the survey. Overlying that are parallel sediments that were uplifted and experienced considerable erosion toward the end of the Jurassic, resulting in a high-relief late Jurassic Unconformity (Dirkx, R., et al., 2016).

Though rifting continued at Seychelles to the east of Madagascar, the Cretaceous in the Morondava Basin is characterized by drift sedimentation. Continued transform movement along the Davie Ridge created sediment to fill in accommodation space left, and the onlap of clastic sediment and potentially carbonate development through the early-middle Cretaceous. The uppermost Cretaceous is characterized by brighter and less even deposition, followed by the marked presence of transpression and transtension in the form of low-relief flower structures. These structures are linked to Jurassic projections of rift faults, and occurred nearly concurrently with emplacement of igneous bodies, which exaggerated these low-relief structures into the pop-up anticlines. These sills burst in along faults and weak bedding planes and fault planes, occasionally cracking up through the existing stratigraphy. A greater abundance of igneous bodies intruded to the west than the east, but the examples to the west are fewer, but thicker and more expansive.

The thin Marginal Sag interval was deposited while these last tectonic movements were settling into their current position, but before the quiescence of the modern passive margin. The Marginal Sag interval has an onlapping relationship to the top Bright Drift, as well as a ductile deformation response to the emplacement of the intrusive igneous bodies, unlike the passive margin sediments just above them. It is likely that Marginal Sag strata act more as a seal than an addition to the reservoir units below.

The uppermost unit in the section is the Passive Margin structural domain, which has persisted to the present. Containing roughly 1 km of sediment above the Marginal Sag Unconformity, the Passive Margin features largely even parallel stratigraphy, not much persisted to the present. Containing roughly 1 km of sediment above the Marginal Sag Unconformity, the Passive Margin features largely even parallel stratigraphy, not much.
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INTRODUCTION
The Belo Profond Area 3 3D survey covers a small but distinctive area within the Morondava Basin, between the west coast of Madagascar and the Davie Ridge. Recent publications have described the possibility of good reservoir potential in this area, and underscores the building interest in this emerging frontier basin (Brownfield, et al., 2016; Orkis, R., et al., 2016; Roberts, et al., 2015). This project aimed to describe reservoir presence and quality of identified traps in the Cretaceous and Jurassic sediments, and assess their visible geophysical character through specially processed multi-attribute ranges. A literature review of the Morondava Basin and thorough mapping of the Area 3 survey led this team to determine five potential play types, and map potential trapping structures in the deep subsurface below a series of regional unconformities. The greatest challenge to many of these structures is the abundant igneous sills that lace the Cretaceous stratigraphy. The six prospects described here are those identified with the greatest reservoir presence, quality, and volume.

METHODOLOGY
This investigation focused solely on interpreting the existing Area 3 3D survey Full Stack (Time and Depth). Volume amplitude assessment of geophysical attributes were evaluated at each prospect (RMS, Sum, etc.), as well as spectral decomposition along the horizon surface to identify depositional patterns or features. Well data was not available to calibrate results in this frontier basin. “500 km distance offshore. Angle stacks (Near Stack = A-15°, Mid = 15-25°, Far = 26-37°) were used for an attribute-comparison AVO assessment: (Near=Far)*Far, dividing resulting reservoir intervals into one of two typologies: Class I & II and Class I, II, III & IV.

Unconditioned gather were not available for inclusion in this project, but a threefold filter was applied to filter out any noise prior to comparing the angle stack amplitudes. IHS Kingdom software and the Geology/Geophysics module were used at every stage of this analysis.

AREA 3: PLAY TYPES

Play 1: Structural Closures on the end Jurassic Unconformity
Prospects identified along the N-S EW high of a high-relief unconformity overlain by a regional shale. The sediments have very dim reflectivity, due to their depth and their proximity to common intrusive igneous sills: Prospect Alpha.

Play 2: Cretaceous Onlap Pinchouts onto the late Jurassic Unconformity
Cretaceous sediments are deposited against the N-S EW trending high on the end Jurassic Unconformity. Bright packages downlap and pinch out. Prospect Gamma and Prospect Delta.

Play 3: Structural Closures in the Cretaceous/Drift Interface
Pop-up closures structurally increased by igneous intrusive that fail within the low-magnetic trend: Prospect Epsilon, Prospect Delta, Prospect Iota.

Play 4: Features in the Marginal Sag
This thin interval is rife with very bright amplitudes that largely conform to underlying stratigraphy: not cutting through intrusive sills of drift sediments below. This interval operates largely as a seal: West Channelform.

Play 5: Features in the Passive Margin
Largely sealing, the key feature within the Passive Margin section is the expansive Mass Transport System.

PROSPECTS ALPHA, DELTA, IOTA

These stacked prospects in the south-southwest of Belo Profond Area 3 are from three separate plays, with closures that likely extend off the survey, thereby increasing trap sizes but in that area the reservoir presence and quality is for now unknown.

The image to the right is the 3D survey lined up with the 2D vintage data with horizons traced to determine shape and extent of the reservoir to the south. The exact size of the traps is difficult to estimate, but the 2D line shows these structures likely close south of the 3D survey, likely doubling the calculated size.

Regional context suggests a closure to the south, nearly doubling size of closure (~3 km²).

PROSPECT ALPHA: Structural Closure in Jurassic

Depth surface: Jurassic Drift
Closure size shown: 21 km² (1.5 km³)

Bright, alternately ordered and chaotic bedding suggests: Carbonate lithology: organo-biologic buildup or mound later subjected to faulting.

Thin igneous intrusions that follow stratigraphy.

Prospect Delta - Pinchout Structure

Depth surface: Top Bright Drift
Closure size shown: 12.6 km² (0.189 km³)

Brightest areas centered beneath the crest of the structure, but do not come from top surface (eroded top).

AVO Approximation for ADI Prospects:

Prospect Alpha: Upper portion of Jurassic Sediments shows mostly negative values, suggesting a consistent Class I or II lithology.

Prospect Delta: Vertical “column” of high AVO classes suggests carbonate buildup architecture; elsewhere seen with sills.

Prospct Iota: Dim interval just beneath Top Bright Drift is largely positive, indicating AVO Classes I or IV.
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PROSPECTS MESHACH

Located in Play 3, the Meshach Prospects have been identified as three distinct structural closures that may all share a closing contour: Meshach, Meshach North, and Meshach South.

The internal architecture of the Meshach Prospects can best be seen in Meshach North, which contains parallel stratigraphy except for a few thicker, irregular packages in the uppermost layers. Meshach North has far fewer igneous intrusions than seen within southern two structures. Both Meshach and Meshach South have characteristic intrusive sills laced through the upper portion of the reservoir unit, increasing the structural relief, but dramatically decreasing prospectivity. Meshach North has intrusive sills below the reservoir unit, but not extensively within. Meshach North overlaps Pinchout Prospect Gamma.

The structural closure shown on the map is 9.2 km² (0.146 km³), which is a very conservative estimate, assuming that only Meshach North is filled to its closing contour. However, a much larger upside potential persists for full Meshach closure, increasing to 88 km² (4.8 km³). There is a risk that the intrusive sills have caused internal compartmentalization.

The interval between Top Bright Drift and the green unconformity contains a thick trough with dim seismic character, in contrast to units just above and just below. Using a RMS amplitude extraction, the dim trough shows no contour conformance to the structure. Extractions on the entirety of Meshach only yield outlines of the igneous intrusions within the upper stratigraphy.

Using the (Nears-Fars)*Fars AVO assessment, the dim interval between Top Bright Drift and Green Unconformity shows variation in AVO class, and some internal character not identified on reflectivity data. This effort is largely inconclusive.

RGB Blending of Spectral Decomposition Dominant Frequencies shows structural features such as faulting along the crest of Meshach North.

Structural closure shown: 9.2 km² (0.146 km³) Much larger upside potential for full Meshach closure, increasing to 88 km² (4.8 km³).

Interval between Top Bright Drift and the green unconformity (see right) contains a thick trough with dim seismic character, in contrast to units just above and just below. RMS extraction does not show conformance with contours in the dim interval.

PROSPECT GAMMA

Both a structural closure and an onlap pinchout within the Bright Drift interval. Structural closure size: 9 km² (0.265 km³)

Bright onlapping peak, deformed as the base of a pop-up antiform, adjacent to igneous intrusions which comprise the closing contour to the north.

Conformance of high point of structure to bright amplitudes. Highest bright amplitudes on east of prospect are tuning effects as the surface onlaps.

Alignment of bright amplitudes at the crest of the structure likely associated with faulting. Prospect contains faulted but ordered positive and negative responses on AVO approximations, suggesting varied lithologies within unit.

PROSPECT EPSILON

Deep intrusive sills reactivated faults in Jurassic sediments that influence the size and shape of this structure.

Structural closure shown: 27.6 km² (0.707 km³)

Deep intrusive sills reactivated faults in Jurassic sediments that influenced the size and shape of this structure.

The RMS amplitude extraction over the interval shows only minor bright spots over the highs.

Using the (Nears-Fars)*Fars AVO assessment, an even distribution of parallel stratigraphy alternates between positive and negative (Class IV and Class I & II, respectively), which supports the hypothesis of stacked prospects within internal due to possible internal seals.
Mass Transport System in Passive Margin

**ESTIMATED VOLUMETRICS**

Belo Profond Area 3 contains considerable hydrocarbon reservoir potential. Six prospect closures identified and described, stacked opportunities most promising. No DHI confirmed. Ballpark volumetrics calculated for these prospects have a total most likely unrisked volume of 1665 MMbbls, with an upside potential of 3034 MMbbls. The stacked opportunities of the Alpha, Delta, and iota [AD] prospects together have a most likely unrisked volume of 1512 MMbbls, and an upside potential of 2729 MMbbls. The Meshach Prospect has a most likely unrisked volume of 766 MMbbls, and an upside potential of 1022 MMbbls.

Volumetric assessments assume flat-top spill for estimation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Closure GV (MMbbls)</th>
<th>N/G</th>
<th>Porosity</th>
<th>Saturation</th>
<th>Rho</th>
<th>STOIIP (MMbbls)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meshach</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>4827</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>3554</td>
<td>4108</td>
<td>4662</td>
<td>3456</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iota</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epsilon</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>776.8</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>3034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FLUID ESCAPE OR LEAKAGE**

Bulk positive amplitude from the top of the Marginal Sag to the Passive Margin Seal, a surface that extends uninterrupted over the entire survey. Leakage appears minimal and does not cross regional seal.

| Sum of positive amplitudes | Bright area ~<0.5 km² | Brightest spots look like minor sills just above Sag surface |

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Area 3 contains structures derived from uplift, erosion, transpression and transtension, and igneous intrusion, which all create potential traps. Leaks in regional seals across survey are localized and minimal. Presence of igneous lithologies challenges the analysis, and significantly impacts variations in geophysical properties. Prospects highlighted here show significant size, and exhibit the igneous influence on reservoir quality least.

Forward work: more data over complete areas of southern prospects, image processing to diminish the influence of dense igneous lithologies, and include offshore well data from offshore well far rock properties calibration.
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