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Abstract

The potential for high density / high accuracy surface deformation (uplift and/or subsidence) information from oil field operations has significant financial, operational, and safety implications. This is especially acute in the San Joaquin Valley of California where heavy oil production, and water and steam injection, are often from very shallow reservoirs (<1000 feet below surface). Continuous advances in the collection and processing of Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) data make it an ideal tool for monitoring entire fields, analogous to millions of GPS stations measuring surface movements of just millimeters semi-daily. However, the true value of InSAR data is revealed when the data are fully integrated in a diverse contextual environment. This must necessarily include temporal records of production and injection data, and can include surface infrastructure, subsurface geologic models, well trajectories and even microseismic and tilt meter data. The temporal component is paramount in this integration. We present a case history spanning nearly twenty years around a producing field in the central San Joaquin Valley. We see long-term subsidence patterns that can clearly be related to fluid production, plus pockets of local uplift related to over-injection. We present detailed 4D analyses of the correlation between these diverse temporal and spatial datasets. Furthermore, we assess forward modeling with simple geomechanical models to quantify and predict injection performance. Careful integration of InSAR data can yield benefits for operators, including:

- Planning injection interventions
- Fewer well integrity issues
- Savings on drilling costs
- Better targeting and monitoring of injection campaigns
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Summary

• InSAR data:
  ▪ measures ground deformation over time
  ▪ widely available, not restricted by access issues
  ▪ high spatial and temporal resolution → surveillance

• Publicly available well data analyzed to reveal field history

• Integrated into 4D dataset analyzed for deformation history

• Long-term subsidence quantitatively related to production
  ▪ Agrees with simple geomechanical forward models

• Localized uplifted related to injection
  ▪ Short-period, timely InSAR actionable for operators
Setting

Discovered 1911
31,000+ wells
>1.5 BBL produced
Tulare & Diatomite Fms
## Data / sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InSAR data 2003-2010, 2012-2015</td>
<td>SkyGeo <em>(commercial)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Locations</td>
<td>California DOGGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production, injection histories</td>
<td>California DOGGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Elevation Model (DEM)</td>
<td>USGS SDTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface horizons</td>
<td>Published maps, DOGGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure (roads etc.)</td>
<td>Kern County Online Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imagery</td>
<td>Google</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...*integrated in 3D / 4D*
Deformation over time

- Long-term steady subsidence with time
- Numerous departures, uplifts etc.
Deformation rate (movie)

- Some anomalies:
  - Nov 2005 localized uplift
  - June 2008 localized uplift
Quantifying deformation vs. fluids

InSAR Data
- InSAR data: 13.2 million data points
- 2003-09-14 to 2010-09-12, pseudo-monthly sampling: 64 time-steps

Structure Data
- DEM, Top Lower Tulare Sand & Belridge Diatomite surfaces
- Simple structural model
- Make 500 x 500 ft (~6 acre) polygons covering AOI, aligned: 3,465 polygons
- Deformation and production / injection in geometrically consistent dynamic object: 11 attributes, 64 time-steps, 2,700 polygons

Well / Fluid Data
- DOGGR Access databases: Monthly Production & Injection: 31,850 wells
- Trim wells to InSAR time range: 8,698 producers, 5,283 injectors
- Convert to barrels, compute daily rate. QC
- For each polygon & InSAR time-step, sum production & injection
- Compute deltas, rates, and net
13.2 million data points

Gridded surfaces

Average in each 500’ polygon

Single 4D product for analysis

31,850 wells

8,698 producers

5,283 injectors

Sum in each 500’ polygon
Deformation versus production

- Deformation related to production
- Depth dependence
Deformation versus production (movie)
Geomechanical forward model

- Simple cellular model of Tulare Formation
- Geertsma formulation used to predict displacement
- Assumptions:
  - Compaction linearly related to fluids
  - Homogeneous reservoir, overburden

Geomechanical forward model

- First-order match
- Heterogeneities not considered:
  - Overburden, reservoir compressibility, detailed structure, deviated well paths, injection etc.
- Further work could invert for reservoir properties etc.
Short-term uplifts

Global date: Jun 29, 2008

Attribute = Deformation Rate (meters per day)

10,000 feet
Injection & \[\Delta\] deformation

Global date: Oct 12, 2008 00:00
Localized short-term uplift

Red = subsidence; blue = uplift

22 day period

Following 11 day period

- Rapid localized uplift, quickly detected by InSAR
- Related to injection, shallow (~300 ft?) leakage
- Timely intervention (shut-in) prevented possible surface event
Conclusions

• InSAR data:
  - Field-wide monitoring, long- & short- term signatures

• Value in integrating publicly available data

• Quantitative 3D/4D Integration environment allows rapid insights

• Long-term subsidence relates to production
  - Agrees with simple forward geomechanical models
  - Future work could capture more heterogeneities:
    • Reservoir, overburden, temporal changes, deviated well paths

• Localized uplifted related to injection
  - InSAR observations allow timely surveillance, hence intervention
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