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Abstract

Upheaval Dome is an eroded structural dome that exposes Mesozoic strata along with associated folds, faults and sand injectites in the Paradox basin, SE Utah. Multiple interpretations for its origin have been proposed, but the two remaining viable hypotheses are at opposite ends of the geologic spectrum: one proposing long-term salt-related deformation and growth of the structure, the other a catastrophic meteorite impact. Analysis of stratigraphic field data collected in Triassic to Jurassic-aged strata adjacent to Upheaval Dome reveals: (1) stratigraphic thicknesses from measured sections for the Kayenta Formation (~199 to ~195 Ma) that range from 7 meters to 224 meters, and projected thicknesses in cross section that can exceed 400 meters; (2) distinct changes in facies distributions in relation to mapped structures; (3) localized angular unconformities and stratal-onlap surfaces; (4) blocks of Triassic Chinle Formation encased in younger Jurassic Wingate Sandstone adjacent to thinned, Wingate lobes, that apparently downlap onto the underlying Chinle. Structural analysis at Upheaval Dome reveals: (1) synclinal growth axes and associated depositional centers shift away from the center of the dome throughout the Late Triassic/Early Jurassic; (2) stratigraphic thicknesses increase across normal faults on the scale of meters to tens of meters; (3) thrust faults within the Kayenta Formation verge to the southeast regardless of location around the structure. These structural features and associated growth strata offer compelling evidence for long-term deformation compatible with salt tectonics at Upheaval Dome during the Late Triassic/Early Jurassic. Sparse indicators of catastrophic impact are present in the Kayenta Formation in the form of two shocked quartz grains, orders of magnitude less than would be expected <1 km from a meteorite impact site. We interpret these grains to be detrital and sourced from outside the Paradox basin. In our interpretation of salt-related deformation, we discuss the merits and drawbacks of a model invoking collapse over a buried salt high to a prior model of a pinched-off diapiric feeder to an eroded salt glacier. The possibility that a meteorite impact of Late Permian to Early Triassic age initiated the growth of an isolated salt pillow in the western part of the northern Paradox Basin requires further investigation.
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A long studied, much disputed structure in SE Utah
Why should we care about a hole in the ground out in the Utah Desert?

Over the past century or so, various hypotheses have been put for the origin of Upheaval Dome.

In the late 1990s, narrowed to two primary interpretations:

1) A post-Jurassic impact structure, formed within a couple of minutes (e.g., Kriens et al., 1999)

2) A pinched-off salt diapir developed over ~160 million years i.e., late Pennsylvanian-Middle Jurassic (Jackson et al., 1998)
Location and Surface Geology

after Doelling, 2001
Gravity gradient map of the northern Paradox Basin

Upheaval Dome

after Banbury, PhD thesis, Univ of Edinburgh, 2005
Upheaval Dome: General Information

- Located in Canyonlands National Park, SE Utah
- Cuts 300 meters into surrounding stratigraphy
- Dome Encircling Monocline (DEM) is 5.2 km in diameter
- Dome Encircling Syncline (DES) is 3.6 km in diameter
- Sparsely developed listric normal faults around the syncline and monocline
- Imbricated thrust faults dominate within central uplift
- No Paradox salt in the core of the central uplift
Recent Hypotheses: Impact

Post-Jurassic Meteorite Impact only (Kriens et al, 1999; Buchner and Kenkmann, 2008;)
- Circular
- Central uplift
- Clastic dikes
- Outer extensional zone
- Inner constrictional zone
- Dome encircling syncline
- Gravity and magnetic anomalies
- Rare poorly developed shatter cones
- Shocked quartz grains (PDFs)
  ✓ 2 quartz grains out of 120 thin sections (Buchner and Kenkmann, 2008)

Post-Jurassic Meteorite Impact followed by Salt Diapirism (Daly and Kattenhorn, 2010; Kattenhorn and Daly, 2011;)
- Circular
- Central uplift
- Clastic dikes
- Outer extensional zone
- Inner constrictional zone
- Dome encircling syncline
- Gravity and magnetic anomalies
- Shear fractures represent dynamic deformation features associated with an impact event. Deformation bands formed later during long-lived salt diapirism below the original impact site.
- This post-Jurassic impact followed by salt diapirism hypothesis is however, at odds with the field observations within the Triassic-Jurassic strata.
Recent Hypotheses: Pinched-off Salt Diapir

- Pinched-off Salt Diapir (Jackson et al., 1998)
  - Circular
  - Central uplift
  - Clastic dikes
  - Outer extensional / Inner constrictional zone
  - Dome encircling syncline
  - Gravity and magnetic anomalies
  - Truncation, onlap and channeling
  - Growth faults and folds
  - Shifting rim synclines
  - Outward-verging extension
Petrographic/Lithologic Evidence for Impact

“Upheaval Dome, Utah, USA: Impact origin confirmed”
(Buchner and Kenkmann, *Geology*, 2008)

- **Shocked quartz grains (PDFs)**
  - 2 grains out of 120 thin sections (~0.0003% of grains analyzed)
  - 2-5% of grains should show PDFs to be considered a diagnostic shock indicator (French and Koeberl, 2010)

- **Rare poorly developed shatter cones?**
  - Siltstone beds of the Moenkopi Formation near the central uplift
  - Formed in earliest excavation stage of impact (Huntoon, 2000)
  - 10-20% by volume to be considered diagnostic shock indicator (French and Koeberl, 2010)
This Study: Objectives and Purpose

- **Document stratigraphic and structural features:**
  - Thickness and facies changes in the Jurassic Kayenta Formation (15 logged sections inside the DEM + 1 baseline logged section ~10km to ENE)
  - Surfaces of angular discordance (unconformities and onlap)
  - Growth faults between the DEM and DES

- **Focus of this study is outside** the Central Uplift

_Geesaman, 2013; MS thesis, Colorado School of Mines_
Northern Paradox Stratigraphy

- Stratigraphic thickness and facies are generally consistent over a wide area of SE Utah away from known salt structures
- At Upheaval Dome:
  - Latest Permian to Middle Jurassic aged strata exposed
  - Variable depositional environments
  - This study focussed on the sandy-fluvial Jurassic Kayenta Formation and the thick eolian Triassic-Jurassic Wingate Formation
  - Additional recent work on the Navajo Sandstone

Modified from (Jackson et al., 1998)
~70-80 meters thick over a wide area away from salt structures
(Data from measured sections Doelling et al., 1988, and well logs from Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining)
Upheaval Dome Stratigraphy

Stratigraphy (modified from Jackson et al., 1998)

Sample Well log

- Navajo (≥ 150 m)
- Kayenta (7-224 m)
- Wingate (5-90 m)
- Chinle (~90-110 m)

Schematic stratigraphic relationships at Upheaval Dome
Age of Units exposed at Upheaval Dome is ~ 250 to 172 Ma

Glen Canyon Group strata exposed at Upheaval Dome (Wingate, Kayenta and Navajo) represent at least 20 million years!
Geological Map

(Geesaman, 2013; MS thesis, Colorado School of Mines)

(Modified from Jackson et al., 1998; and Kriens et al., 1999)
Kayenta Formation Thickness Variability

- Measured thickness varies significantly
  - Thickest = 224 m
  - Thinnest = 7 m

Geesaman, 2013; MS thesis, Colorado School of Mines
Distinct truncation and onlap surfaces present around Upheaval Dome within Chinle-Navajo formations implying long-term deformation and growth.
**Stratigraphy: Onlapping Relationships**

- Distinct onlap surfaces onto fold structures
  - Base of Wingate Sandstone
  - Beds approach antiform, thin, and onlap
  - Implies long-term deformation
Shifting Synclinal Axial Traces / Depocenters

- Shifting synclinal axes and formation depocenters through Jurassic formations exposed at Upheaval Dome

- Depocenters shift away from the dome center over time

- Localizes environments of deposition through time (e.g., braided stream channels and paleosols in Jk, limestones in Jn)
Composite East-West Cross Section

1:1 Cross section based on field and sub-surface data

Cross section modified from Geesaman, 2013, with additional information from Jackson et al., 1998; Kriens et al., 1999; Kanbur et al., 1999.
Evidence for Long-Term Deformation

Panorama of the south side of Alcove B on the east side of Upheaval Dome between the DEM and DES. Deeply eroded Jw with rotated and stacked Jk channels.
Panorama of steepening dips within the Navajo (Jn) towards the dome center on east side of Upheaval Dome. Dips steepen from DES down section within the Navajo and then decrease again in the underlying Kayenta. This pattern is repeated around much of Upheaval Dome.
Evidence for Long-Term Deformation

Photo pan of cliff face on the south side of Upheaval Dome. Base Jk surface is significantly eroded into Jw with draped + stacked channels in Jk. Small (m to 20 m) normal faults show hw growth in Jk2, Jk3 and Jk4 packages.
Evidence for Long-Term Deformation

Panorama of the southwest side of Upheaval Dome between the DEM and DES. Jw and lwr Jk growth fault shows thickened hanging wall packages. Minimum 7m measured thickness of Jk
Evidence for Long-Term Deformation

(A) Oblique and (B) dip of north flank of Upheaval Canyon (thrust duplex of Jackson et al., 1998) that illustrate thickening and increasing dip within the Kayenta toward the dome center.
“Dog Tongues” (Jackson et al., 1998) on the western flank Upheaval Dome record collapse of thinned Wingate (Jw) onto underlying Chinle (Trc). Note the regionally characteristic smooth, conformable Trc/Jw contact in the background (outside the DEM).
Evidence for Long-Term Deformation

Overstepping Jk channels filling deeply eroded Jw topography on west side of Upheaval Dome
Pinched-off Salt Diapir Hypothesis

- Problems with the Pinched-off Salt Diapir
  - No remnant Paradox Fmn in dome center (requires perfect closure)
  - No remnant Paradox at base of dog tongues (requires complete weld)
  - No described halokinetic sequences
  - No described diapir-derived detritus in flanking stratigraphy
  - (Vertical) welding of diapir while still plenty of Paradox Fmn at depth (~ 600m in Buck Mesa #1 well)
  - No obvious mechanism for initiation of a small, circular salt structure in this distal part of the Paradox Basin

Jackson et al., 1998
A New Hypothesis: Early Triassic Impact

Schematic crater form ~ 3.5 km wide, ~ 600m deep

A couple of minutes later......

Meteorite ~ 200m in diameter

One day in the Early Triassic........ some 250-240 million years ago

(1)

Trm (Early Triassic Moenkopi)

Pc (Permian Cutler)

Ph (Honaker Trail)

Paradox Salt (Pennsylvanian)

Mississippian Pre-salt

(2)

Trm

Pc

Ph

Paradox Salt
A New Hypothesis: Late Tr-Middle Jr Salt Doming

Late Triassic deposition of Chinle Formation (~215-205 Ma)

Erosional surface

Erosion of Trm and crater fill over a 10-25 My period prior to Upper Triassic (Chinle) deposition
A New Hypothesis: Late Tr-Middle Jr Salt Doming

- Growth faulting + erosion of Jw
- Kayenta minibasin
- Dog Tongues
- Kayenta minibasin
- Deposition of Kayenta Formation (~199-195 Ma)
- Salt Dome Sag?

(6)

- Paradox Salt
- Downbuilding
- Thinning onto uplifted Chinle
- Downbuilding

(5)

- Early Jurassic deposition of Wingate Formation (~205-200 Ma)
- Trc
- Trm
- Pc
- Ph
- Paradox Salt
A New Hypothesis: Late Tr-Middle Jr Salt Doming

Salt doming possibly continued after Navajo time but no outcrop record present.
Conclusions

• The Kayenta Formation away from Upheaval Dome (and other salt structures) is ~70-80 meters thick

• The Kayenta Formation at Upheaval Dome displays significant thickness variation
  - Minimum thickness = 7 meters
  - Maximum thickness = 224 meters

• Presence of surfaces of angular discordance (truncation and onlap) suggest long-term deformation

• Normal growth faults suggest deformation over long periods of time

• Shifting of depocenters through the exposed Jurassic formations and localization of distinct facies suggests long-term deformation

• New hypothesis of Early Triassic (~250-240 Ma) impact followed by salt doming through to at least Middle Jurassic times (~195-172 Ma) attempts to explain the wide range of geological observations at Upheaval Dome
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