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Abstract

Pore-scale imaging and permeability modeling provide an important pathway to understanding mudstone pore structure and network, which is critical for efficient shale gas and oil production. The technique for 3D nm-scale pore characterization, FIB/SEM, however, can only address a very small volume, usually a few microns, of rock matrix. Given the known heterogeneities of mudstones, data from small volume FIB/SEM studies are not adequate for representative characterization of pore structure and pore-permeability relationships. We propose an improved strategy for upscaling pore networks and permeability in organic-pore dominated mudstones from the μm to mm scale.

The Barnett Shale, in which as much as 90% of the pore volume is developed in organic pores, was sampled and milled using a FIB/SEM as an example. 3D image analysis was then conducted to characterize the pores, including porosity, pore size, geometric tortuosity, and pore connectivity. The resulting porosity and pore size distribution are in good agreement with previous findings based on SEM image analysis. Permeability, which was calculated from pore-scale modeling, displays micro Darcy values for local pore clusters. Kerogen particle size, connectivity, and distribution were also analyzed using FIB/SEM images. These data show that large kerogen particles, with sizes > 0.5 μm, are more commonly connected than smaller particles, which are more likely to be isolated. Therefore, a 3D connected-kerogen-particle network (in mm-scale) can be defined with micro-CT analysis (at a resolution of 0.5 μm). The kerogen network is the fundamental framework of the connected organic pore network, since organic pores can be connected only if the surrounding organic matter (kerogen) is connected. The integration of nm-scale pore FIB/SEM analysis and mm-scale CT analysis can produce an organic pore network model that can form the basis for understanding and characterizing pore structure and permeability. This upscaling strategy has the potential to further the understanding of pore network and pore-permeability relationships of mudstones, facilitating more efficient shale oil and gas exploration and recovery.
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Workflow

- Pore and Pore network characterization
  - Organic matter associated pore distribution using FIB/SEM: \( \mu \text{m-scale} \);
  - Organic matter particle (OM) distribution using \( \mu \text{CT} \): \( \text{mm-scale} \);
  - Small pore imaging using Helium Ion Microscopy (HIM): \( \text{nm-scale} \);

- Permeability estimation
  - A conceptual model based on image analysis and mercury intrusion;
  - Permeability simulation in \( \mu \text{m-scale} \);
  - Up-scaled permeability estimation in \( \text{mm-scale} \).
The Sample

Barnett shale

Barnett: Blakely 7111’ (2167 m)
TOC: 4.05% on average
Ro: ~1.35%
MICP porosity: 3.2%
Organic pore: > 90%
FIB/SEM 3D Volumes

4 volumes (sub-samples) from the same core sample;

Voxel resolution:
A, B: 24.5 x 24.5 x 29.5 nm
C: 10 x 10 x 10 nm
D: 20 x 20 x 45 nm

Avizo Fire (VSG)
Organic Matter (OM) and OM Pore Segmentation

- Multi-step segmentation + modification

10 µm
3D Organic Matter (OM) and OM Pore Reconstruction

All OM

- OM
- OM pores

Connected OM

- Connected OM
- OM pores within it

- Connected organic matter exists
- Only locally connected pore network,
- but no throughout connected pore network…
3D Organic Matter (OM) and OM Pore Reconstruction

- Small pores not shown up under the FIB/SEM resolution can play an important role in connection
Helium Ion Microscope Image (No Coating)

Small pores (2-10 nm) in large pores
Freshly broken sample: small pore connection
• Connected OM
• OM pores within it

• Adding of small pores (< 10-20 nm) can lead to a percolating pore network
A Conceptual Model based on Mercury Intrusion and Image Analysis

Mercury intrusion pore throat size distribution

Large pore (> 20 nm) connected network

A bimodal distribution

Small pore connected network (including locally connected large pores)
Synchrotron μCT vs. SEM

- From μCT: large OM particles (> 0.74 μm)

- Organic matters (including pores)
3D Reconstruction with Synchrotron μCT Images

- Pixel resolution: 0.74 μm
Organic Matter Particle (including pores) Segmentation

0.5 mm
OM Particle Network

- All (OM+pore) volume fraction (OM volume/Total volume): 7.8%
- Equivalent to TOC of 3.3%
- Connected OM volume fraction: 3.7%; Connected OM/All OM: 47.3% (volume)
Shortest Path Analysis

Media axis of the connected OM particles (the skeleton)

Layered connection: bedding effect on organic matter connection
Geometric Tortuosity

Geometric tortuosity:

$$\tau_g = \frac{L_{ij}}{d_{ij}}$$

$$K \propto \frac{1}{\tau^2}$$

$L_{ij}$: shortest path between point $i$ (entrance face) and point $j$ (exit face)

$D_{ij}$: Euclidean distance (straight line distance) between $i$ and $j$.

X direction (vertical to bedding) has the largest tortuosity.
Integration of Two Scales

Organic matter particle network in mm-scale

Organic matter pore network in µm-scale
Permeability Analysis: µm-scale

Pore Networks in OM from FIB

50 permeability and pore size analysis

Stokes equation: Finite volume method

Avizo Xlab Hydro (VSG)
- Permeability for local connected large-pore network (µm- or sub-µm scale):
  \[ \sim 1\text{-}100 \, \mu\text{Darcy} \]

- No clear correlation between permeability and pore diameter:
  - Many other local influencing factors

- No preferential flow direction at such scale (µm- or sub-µm scale)
  - Larger permeability in X, Y, or Z
An Empirical Equation for Up-scaled Permeability Estimation

**Large pore (> 20 nm) network:** $K_{l_{\text{eff}}}$

**Small pore connected network (w/ locally connected large pores):** $K_{s_{\text{eff}}}$

$K_{l_{\text{eff}}} = (\text{harmonic mean, arithmetic mean of the large } - \text{pore permeability})$

$$K_{s_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{n_{sc}}{\left(\frac{n_s}{K_s} + \frac{n_l}{K_{l_{\text{eff}}}}\right)}$$

$K_s = (10 \text{ nD, 100 nD})$

**Up-scaled effective permeability:**

$$K_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\tau^2} n_{omc} (f_l K_{l_{\text{eff}}} + f_s K_{s_{\text{eff}}})$$

**Tortuosity of organic matter**

**Organic matter (connected) volume fraction**

**Large or small pore network fraction**
## Up-scaled Permeability Estimation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>K(_s) = 10\ nD</th>
<th></th>
<th>K(_s) = 100\ nD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KL(_\text{eff}) = 3.9\ \mu D</td>
<td>KL(_\text{eff}) = 21.8\ \mu D</td>
<td>KL(_\text{eff}) = 3.9\ \mu D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permeability in each direction (nD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel to bedding</td>
<td>1.4 \ nD</td>
<td>8.7 \ nD</td>
<td>2.0 \ nD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpendicular to bedding</td>
<td>3.4 \ nD</td>
<td>21.5 \ nD</td>
<td>4.3 \ nD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 \ nD</td>
<td>2.6 \ nD</td>
<td>1.0 \ nD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contribution from small connected (<10 nm) and large (>10 nm) pore networks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>K(_s) = 10\ nD</th>
<th></th>
<th>K(_s) = 100\ nD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>67.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparable to measured value of: \(~35-100\ \text{nD}\) in bedding direction  
\(~2\ \text{nD}\) in perpendicular to bedding

Slight underestimation may be caused by the lower TOC.
Conclusions

- Integrated method must be used to understand the full picture of the shale pore network: FIB, μCT, HIM, and MICP;

- Such an integrated method generated reasonable permeability (2-22 nD in bedding direction; 0.6-3 nD in vertical to bedding direction);

- This method provides a basis for further up-scaling to core and formation scale.
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- 20 SEM images;
- OM particle size distribution from SEM images indicates ~34% OM particles < 0.74 um.
• The small (<0.74 µm) OM particles may contribute to overall OM particle connectivity;
• But may not contribute to pore connectivity, especially large pore connectivity since large pores are mostly present in large OM particles.
Pore size distribution: Image vs. MICP

FIB/SEM Image analysis

MICP
Permeability estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ks = 10 nD</th>
<th></th>
<th>Ks = 100 nD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kl_eff = 3.9 uD</td>
<td>Kl_eff = 21.8 uD</td>
<td>Kl_eff = 3.9 uD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>permeability in each direction (nD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel to bedding</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical to bedding</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contribution from small connected (&lt;10 nm) and large (&gt;10 nm) pore networks:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>67.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factors under or overestimate permeability

- **Under:**
  - Larger pore permeability can be larger;
  - Larger pore connection tortuosity can be smaller;
  - Small pore connection tortuosity can be smaller;
  - Organic matter connected fraction can be larger and organic matter tortuosity can be smaller.

- **Over:**
  - Larger pore connection tortuosity can be larger;
  - Smaller pore connected pore network tortuosity can be larger too;
  - Ambient condition vs. in-situ condition with stress;
From Loucks et al., (2009)