Characterizing Compartmentalization in Structurally Heterogeneous Reservoirs Using Fluid Mixing Time-Scales*

Jason Go¹, Craig Smalley¹, and Ann Muggeridge²

Search and Discovery Article #41423 (2014)**
Posted August 25, 2014

*Adapted from poster presentation at AAPG Annual Convention and Exhibition, Houston, Texas, April 6-9, 2014
**AAPG©2014 Serial rights given by author. For all other rights contact author directly.

¹Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, London, UK (j.go@imperial.ac.uk)
²BP, Sunbury-on-Thames, UK

Abstract

Detection and characterization of reservoir compartmentalization during appraisal is significantly improved by using fluid data (pressure, contacts, density and composition) and the rate at which these observed fluid variations equilibrate over geological time-scales. This essentially involves comparison of the time-scales for any observed fluid property variation(s) to homogenize with the time since the reservoir filled. A suite of published analytical expressions for fluid mixing via molecular diffusion, gravitational overturning, or pressure diffusion have been used previously to quantify mixing time-scales. These have subsequently been applied to field studies to identify and quantify barriers and baffles to flow. These analytical mixing relations, however, have been derived for idealized reservoir geometries (e.g., 1D and 2D box models), where the fluid mixing time-scales are simply estimated over straight line distances between two observed points (e.g., wells). In reality, most reservoirs are structurally heterogeneous (e.g., with folding, anticlines, faulting), and thus mixing times may be increased due to the non-linear mixing distances within the reservoir. It is not clear whether such analytical estimates of mixing time are reliable in these cases. In this study, we investigate the time taken for fluid contacts and fluid densities in a faulted anticlinal reservoir to reach equilibrium, using detailed numerical simulation, compared with existing analytical solutions for a box reservoir. We present an easy method for estimating an effective mixing distance and thus the mixing time in such cases without recourse to simulation. A simple field case study from a giant Middle Eastern oil field is presented demonstrating these principles. This confirms previous work that observed fluid contact variations in the field do not necessarily indicate the presence of barriers to flow. Using the effective mixing distance of ~100 km, the estimated mixing time is long (~1My) compared to the time since the reservoir filled or aquifer started flowing, and thus the overturning of fluid contacts in the field has not yet reached steady state.
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ABSTRACT. Detection and characterization of reservoir compartmentalization during appraisal is significantly improved by using fluid data (pressure, contacts, density and composition) and the rate at which these observed fluid variations equilibrate over geological time-scales. This essentially involves comparison of the time-scales for any observed fluid property variations to homogenize with the time since the reservoir filled. A suite of published analytical expressions for fluid mixing via molecular diffusion, gravitational overturning or pressure diffusion have been used previously to quantify mixing time-scales. These have subsequently been applied to field studies to identify and quantify barriers and baffles to flow.

These analytical mixing relations however have been derived for idealized reservoir geometries (e.g. 1D and 2D box models) where the fluid mixing time-scales are simply estimated over straight line distances between two observed points (e.g. wells). In reality, most reservoirs are structurally heterogeneous (e.g. with folding, anticlines, faulting) and thus mixing times may be increased due to the non-linear mixing distances within the reservoir. It is not clear whether such analytical estimates of mixing time are reliable in these cases.

In this study, we investigate the time taken for fluid contacts and fluid densities in a faulted anticlinal reservoir to reach equilibrium using detailed numerical simulation, compared with existing analytical solutions for a box reservoir. We present an easy method for estimating an effective mixing distance and thus the mixing time in such cases without recourse to simulation. A simple field case study from a giant Middle Eastern oil field is presented demonstrating these principles. This confirms previous work that observed fluid contact variations in the field do not necessarily indicate the presence of barriers to flow. Using the effective mixing distance of ~100 km, the estimated mixing time is long (~1My) compared to the time since the reservoir filled or aquifer started flowing and thus the overturning of fluid contacts in the field has not yet reached steady state.

1. What is reservoir compartmentalization?
- A reservoir is compartmentalized if fluids do not flow freely from one part of the field to another over production time-scales.

- Reservoirs may be compartmentalized by:
  - Continuous shale layers
  - Sealing faults
  - Diagenetic changes

Compartmentalization is a key uncertainty at appraisal
- Controls amount and spatial distribution of reserves – impacts development of surface facilities.
- Affects the number of wells needed for oil recovery – complex field means more wells, less profit.

Unidentified compartmentalization may turn a commercial development into an uneconomic one.

2. How is compartmentalization identified?
- **Static vs dynamic data**
  - Faults can be observed from 3D seismic data
  - BUT, seismic data do not provide direct information about the transmissibility of faults until calibrated by dynamic data.

- **Well logs can show if there are low permeability shale layers in the field**
  - BUT, shale layers may not be completely continuous even if it can be correlated across several appraisal wells.

3. Mixing time-scales to detect compartmentalization

SIMPLE VIEW: There is a barrier to flow if different contact depths, pressures, fluid properties (e.g. density, GOR), fluid composition, or combinations of these are observed at different locations in the field.

**BUT**, reservoir fluid properties may not be in equilibrium because there has been insufficient time for them to mix.

- **Pressure variations** take the least time to equilibrate.

- **Gravitational overturning** is slower than pressure equilibration.

- **Mixing of hydrocarbon components or pore water constituents by molecular diffusion** takes the longest to equilibrate.

**Thus**, observations of spatially varying fluid properties may indicate compartmentalization if they have existed for longer than the time needed for them to equilibrate.
4. Mixing in structurally heterogeneous reservoirs

- Most reservoirs are structurally heterogeneous (e.g. with folding, anticlines, faulting).
- Effective mixing distance \( L_{ef} \) within the reservoir is increased to more than simply the horizontal distance \( L \) between two observation points (e.g. wells).
- Where \( L_{ef} > L \)

Existing analytical equations for fluid mixing were derived for idealized reservoir geometries (e.g. 1D and 2D box models) and therefore only provide order of magnitude estimates of mixing times.

5. Numerical simulation of fluid mixing

1. 3D model building with STARS

Using a N-S fault, the 3D reservoir model was divided into 2 sectors which were initialized using different oil mole fractions. This created an oil density difference of 322 kg m\(^{-3}\) between the two sectors.

Figure 5a: 3D view of anticlinal reservoir in STARS. Vertical relief is 171m consisting of 10 blocks.

2. Parameterization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability (mD)</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil viscosity (Pa s)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water viscosity (Pa s)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact height difference (m)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil density difference (kg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Gravitational overturning with STARS

The aquifer was modelled by adding boundary cells that contain only water. Different oil-water contact was set for the 2 sectors. The contact height difference between the two sectors was 30 m. The model was then simulated to "relax" back into equilibrium.

Figure 5b: 3D view of oil mole fraction distribution after 3 My in STARS.

6. Simulation results

- Time taken for the initial fluid contact difference (30m) to equilibrate using the gravitational overturning equation\(^{1,2}\) compares reasonably well with those predicted by the numerical simulations.
- However, numerical simulations took 3 - 9 hours while the analytical approach was almost instantaneous.

- Thus, existing analytical solutions can still be used to estimate time-scales for fluid mixing in structurally heterogeneous reservoirs.

Figure 6b: Top right: Flow vector diagrams depicting direction of flow in the 3D model which was used to estimate \( L_{ce} \) (High graph). Comparison of time for overturning of oil contacts is reach steady state as predicted by analytical solution and numerical simulation is STARS running on 2.53GHz Intel Core 2 Duo CPU and 5 GB of RAM under Windows 7.
7. CASE STUDY: Giant Middle Eastern oil field

- To demonstrate these principles, we evaluated compartmentalization in a giant Middle Eastern oil field.
- This oil field is a high amplitude, periclinal anticline aligned northwest to southeast consisting of multiple stacked Pliocene reservoirs.
- We focused on a particular reservoir unit that is approximately 27km in length, 5km in width, 40-60 m thick, has high net-to-gross, excellent porosity (20-28%) and permeability (0.1 – 1D) and good lateral pressure connectivity.

At appraisal, oil-water contact on the north flank of the structure was deeper (at 3406 m TVDSSCs (metres true vertical depth sub Caspian Sea)) than on the south flank (at 3076 m TVDSCS).

- Well log and seismic data however show that the reservoir is not offset by any fault that has sealing potential (i.e. shale gouge ratio must be >0.2).

8. Sealing faults or hydrodynamic aquifer?

- Water moves around the structure, so $L_{\text{eff}} \approx 100$ km
  $$L_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{2(a^2 + b^2)}$$  
  Where $a$ is the major axis of the ellipse and $b$ is the minor axis

- Using the gravitational overturning equation, the estimated time taken for the initial oil-water contact (OWC) difference (330m) between the north and south flank of the reservoir to equilibrate is 1.2My.

- If the reservoir was filled (or aquifer started flowing) ~1Ma, overturning of fluid contacts in the field has NOT yet reached steady state.

- With aquifer influx, a 330m OWC difference corresponds to a pressure differential at the same depth

\[ \Delta P = \rho_{\text{gw}} = 3.2 \times 10^5 \text{Pa} \]

- The Darcy velocity of water necessary to support this $\Delta P$

\[ v = \frac{\Delta P}{\mu_{\text{gw}}} \approx 45 \text{ cm} / \text{year} \]

Are these observed fluid contact variations in the oil field indicative of compartmentalization?

**THEREFORE**, the observed fluid contact variations in the field do not necessarily indicate presence of barriers to flow.

9. Conclusions

- Reservoir compartmentalization can be identified using static (3D seismic, well log) and dynamic data (pressure, fluid contact, density and composition) at appraisal.
- Using existing analytical solutions to estimate mixing timescales of observed fluid property variations, barriers or baffles to flow in reservoirs can be identified and constrained (e.g. length of shale layers, permeability of faults).
- Existing analytical solutions can still be used to estimate fluid mixing time-scales in structurally heterogeneous reservoirs, provided mixing length is corrected to account for non-linear mixing distances
- Observed fluid contact variations in the reservoir unit of a giant Middle Eastern oil field do not necessarily indicate presence of sealing faults since overturning of fluid contacts in the field has unlikely reached steady state yet. This result is consistent with previous work done on this field.
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NOMENCLATURE. $D$ molecular diffusion coefficient, $m^2 / s$ acceleration due to gravity, $m^2 / s^2$ contact height difference, $m$, $R$ reservoir thickness, $m$, $\theta$ barrier thickness, $m$, $L$ length of reservoir, $m$, $L_{\text{eff}}$ effective mixing length, $m$, $\alpha$ reservoir rock permeability, $m^2$, $\phi$, barrier permeability, $m^2$, $\gamma$ porosity, $m$.