

Risks and Benefits of Geologic Sequestration of Carbon Dioxide - How Do the Pieces Fit?*

Susan Hovorka¹

Search and Discovery Article #80058 (2009)

Posted September 30, 2009

*Adapted from oral presentation at AAPG Annual Convention, Denver, Colorado, June 7-10, 2009

¹Bureau of Economic Geology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX (susan.hovorka@beg.utexas.edu)

Abstract

Geologic sequestration of CO₂ as part of the carbon capture and storage (CCS) process has proven potential to provide the needed reduction in atmospheric emissions. Before this process is accepted as a dominant part of the solution, it is important to consider the possibility that hazards resulting from this action will create unacceptable damages. Capture of CO₂ from point sources would also create a significant revenue stream as CO₂ could be sold for enhanced oil recovery (CO₂ EOR). The benefit of CO₂ EOR to the economy would be significant, but it is important to examine how CO₂ EOR fits into the carbon balance and the long-term solution.

Techniques to assure that a sequestration site will retain stored CO₂ for geologically significant time periods include site characterization, modeling, and monitoring. Risks from failure of a sequestration site to perform properly include leakage to protected groundwater, impact on ecosystems, return to the atmosphere, and damage to other subsurface resources (gas reservoirs in particular). Assessment shows that maximum damage is limited and moderate, and that risks can be reduced by implementing a permitting process that favors high quality sites with adequate monitoring. CO₂ EOR is proposed as a valuable first step to prepare for following even larger volume sequestration in brine-bearing permeable units below and isolated from fresh water.

Bureau of Economic Geology Centennial Lecture Program

Risks and Benefits of Geologic Sequestration of Carbon Dioxide-How do the Pieces Fit?

Susan D. Hovorka
Gulf Coast Carbon Center
Bureau of Economic Geology,
Jackson School of Geosciences,
The University of Texas at Austin
100 Years of Scientific Impact



1909-2009

Bureau of Economic Geology - 100 Years of Scientific Impact

- First organized research unit of The University of Texas at Austin
- State Geological Survey of Texas
- One of three units of the Jackson School of Geosciences
- Staff—140, includes 80 researchers
 - Fossil energy
 - Environment
 - Outreach
- Advising state and federal government
 - Maintaining collections for research



1909-2009


The “pieces” of geologic sequestration:

- Are subsurface volumes adequate to sequester the volumes needed to impact atmospheric concentrations?
- Is storage security adequate to avoid inducing hazards and to benefit atmospheric concentrations?
- How does CO₂ EOR fit?



Assessing Adequacy of Subsurface Storage Volumes

- CO₂ non-ideal gas: at depths >800 m dense phase 0.6g/cc and compressibility decreases
- Subsurface pore volume
 $V = A \times H \times \phi$
- Efficiency of volume occupied
 - Residual water
 - Sweep efficiency
 - Pressure limits
 - Water displacement
- Economic and risk factors
 - Resources –water - & gas
 - Unacceptable risks
 - Other “no-go” areas
 - Cost



100 Years of Scientific Impact




1909-2009

Bureau of Economic Geology



Compression is of great value in creating enough space for storage. However, we really cannot spread CO₂ evenly as a 0.4 mm sheet underground across the US.

US CO₂ Sources and Sinks



Compiled from USGS data

DOE NATCARB Atlas



Saline aquifer

US current annual CO₂ emissions 7 billion metric tons
Estimated US storage volume 3,600 billion metric tons



100 Years of Scientific Impact



1909-2009

Bureau of Economic Geology

Documenting permanence of residual trapping CO₂ Saturation Observed with Cross-well Seismic Tomography vs. Modeled



Tom Daley and Christine Doughty LBNL

100 Years of




1909-2009

Bureau of Economic Geology

The plume imaged with cross-well seismic tomography is shown on the left. The RST log traces for the injection and observation wells are shown with the change in Sigma from pre-injection to maximum saturation shown in dark blue. The white line shows the seal that forms the top of the injection zone. The tomogram in this case shows CO₂ breakthrough, although the attenuation in the plume is stronger than observed with wireline logs. The diagram on the right shows the modeled geometry of the plume. With the blue colors showing the predicted CO₂ saturation. Comparison of the observed and predicted shows that heterogeneity is higher than modeled and more CO₂ was retained near the injection well (buoyancy effects appear to be more strongly expressed than they are in the model).

Saturation logging observation well to measure changes in CO₂ saturation – match to model



Saturation logging using Schlumberger RST measures changes in saline brine saturation, and by inverse the displacement of brine by CO₂ during injection by drainage, and imbibition as CO₂ moves upgradient under gravity. Red areas show the measured gas saturation with depth in the observation well. You remember that CO₂ was first detected at the observation well on the 3rd day after start of injection, and this log measures the build-up on day 4. Day 10, end of injection, was close to maximum saturation. Post injection, the CO₂ is decreasing as the plume spreads updip. The black lines show the modeled concentration of CO₂. In this realization, the CO₂ moved outward more rapidly than predicted, so the build-up during injection is more rapid. There is an offset in the location of the development of the plume, because reservoir heterogeneity was higher than modeled. It looks also that the buoyancy is more effective than modeled. In general, the match is quite good. Importantly, the CO₂ has not migrated laterally faster than predicted. Our current simulations and designed experiment will improve this match to document residual saturation.

Adequacy of Storage Security - Perceived Risks

Speculation about risks from geologic sequestration of CO₂ has been dominated by concerns such as:

- Escape leading to asphyxiation
- Escape leading to toxicity of drinking water
- Induced earthquakes



Perceived risk: CO₂ escape leading to asphyxiation

“CO₂ releases are deadly for communities:”

“...If the gases leak out they are **deadly to all living creatures** since CO₂ is lighter than air, and displaces air. When the gases are released they stay close to the ground, displace oxygen, and **suffocate everything in its path**. Two events in the relative recent history of CO₂ emissions from natural sources underscore the community health hazard created if CO₂ were to escape from sequestration:

The largest recent disaster caused by a large CO₂ release from a lake occurred in 1986, in Cameroon, central Africa. A **volcanic crater-lake known as Nyos** belched bubbles of CO₂ into the still night air and the gas settled around the lake's shore, where it killed 1800 people and countless thousands of animals.

The 15 August 1984 gas release at Lake Monoun that killed 37 people (Sigurdsson and others, 1987) was attributed to a rapid overturn of lake water with CO₂ that had been at the bottom coming to the surface, triggered by an earthquake and landslide. The emission of around 1 cubic kilometer of CO₂ devastated a local village and killed animals for miles.

Environmental Justice objection to CA AB 705

Letter* to Assembly member Hancock, April, 2008

(emphasis mine)



Risk Myth Explained: escape will not lead to asphyxiation


- CO₂ is a well known confined space risk – CO₂ is 1/3 denser than air (N₂ and O₂) therefore will effectively displace air from a tank, mine, cave, or crater.
- Air and CO₂ are miscible with no viscosity contrast, so CO₂ rapidly disperses in an open setting, on a slope, with breeze or circulation.





Cool CO₂ pooling on the ground in the still night air but at low concentrations Frio brine pilot, Houston, TX
—
No Danger

Topography or wind will cause CO₂ to spread and mix
Oldenburg and Unger, 2004, Vadose Zone Journal 3:848-857
No danger



100 Years of Scientific Impact



1909-2009

Perceived Risk: escape leading to toxicity of drinking water

- “Carbon Sequestration....
 - Will require transformation of CO₂ gas to CO₂ liquid which is acidic
 - CO₂ liquid’s acid nature is corrosive to the underground environment, contaminating the ground and would eventually leach to the surface.
 - When CO₂ leaches up to the surface, it will contaminate underground fresh drinking water aquifers, lakes, rivers, and the ocean”

**Excerpts from “Carbon Sequestration
Public Health and Environmental Dangers”**
Researched by Coalition For a Safe Environment

100 Years of Scientific Impact



1909-2009

Bureau of Economic Geology

Risk Myth Explained: CO₂ dissolved in aquifers has little ability to damage water quality

CO₂ dissolves in water = dissolution trapping



Acid= tang in carbonated water

Acid is buffered by rocks

increase Ca, Mg, Fe, Na, Si, CO₃, SO₄, etc. in solution

What could the etc. be?

Mn, As, Pb, Sr, Ni, Zn, Ag, U, Ni, Cd.....

So would leaked CO₂ be a risk to drinking water?

100 Years of Scientific Impact



1909-2009

Bureau of Economic Geology

Carbonated water...




Otherwise known as sparkling water. Has variable mineral content but is potable

Results of adding CO₂ to aquifer system



- Add CO₂ to typical aquifer rocks + fresh water – increase in dissolved minerals – proportional to constituents already in water.



- No damage to fresh water from 37 years of CO₂ EOR at SACROC

GCCC staff Rebecca Smyth, Katherine Jiemin Lu, Changbing Yang

Bureau of Economic Geology



Perceived Risk: Injection causing earthquakes

- In the first *Superman* movie, supervillain Lex Luthor plans to trigger a massive, California-detaching earthquake by detonating a couple of nuclear weapons in the San Andreas Fault.
- Crazy Lex! That scheme never would have worked, geologists will tell you. But, if he'd been serious about **creating an earthquake**, there are ways he could have actually done it. He would just have to **inject some liquid (as some carbon-sequestration schemes propose)** deep into the Earth's crust, or bore a few hundred thousand tons of coal out of a mountain.
- "In the past, people never thought that human activity could have such a big impact, but it can," said Christian Klose, a geohazards researcher at Columbia's Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory.
- It turns out, **actually**, that the human production of earthquakes is hardly supervillain-worthy. It's downright commonplace: Klose estimates that 25 percent of Britain's recorded seismic events were caused by people.
- Most of these human-caused quakes are tiny, registering less than four on geologist's seismic scales. These window-rattlers don't occur along natural faults, and wouldn't have happened without human activity -- like mining tons of coal or potash. They occur when a mine's roof collapses, for example, as in the Crandall Canyon collapse in Utah that killed a half-dozen miners last year.


<http://blog.wired.com/wiredscience/2008/06/top-5-ways-that.html>

Emphasis added




Risk Myth Explained: Limiting injection pressure to avoid earthquakes

- Injection is used to cause “frac jobs” -- for reservoir stimulation. The pressure requirements are calculated, testable, and well documented.
- MASIP = Maximum Allowable Surface Injection Pressure is a main regulation of current EPA underground injection control program.
- Risk of accidental earthquake can be avoided by regulations in place.



Substantive Risk- Damage to fresh water resulting from brine water leakage



100 Years of Scientific Impact




1909-2009

Bureau of Economic Geology

Many people in CCS have been concerned only with the issues of CO₂ leakage, but brine leakage resulting from elevated pressure is of concern also. Timing and process of leakage are somewhat different, but sources of risk (wells, flaws in seals) are similar. Good characterization is required to predict both parts of areas of review.


Substantive Risk- Damage to fresh water resulting from brine water leakage

Current GCCC work on Prediction of AoR and far field pressure




- Damage to surface freshwater (lakes) by increased acidity should CO_2 leak – e.g., fish kills when volcanogenic CO_2 leaked at Mammoth Lakes, CA.

Role of EOR in Sequestration



CO₂ Sequestration Capacity in Miscible Oil Reservoirs along the Gulf Coast

New CO₂ Storage Capacity



Mark Holtz 2005




Conclusions

- Subsurface volumes are adequate to sequester the volumes needed to impact atmospheric concentrations
- Using available technology, adequate storage security can be assured to avoid inducing hazards and to benefit atmospheric concentrations



Geologic Sequestration of Carbon – Put it back



Carbon extracted from coal or other fossil fuel...

Returned into the earth where it came from

Acknowledgements

- Gulf Coast Carbon Center researchers: Susan Hovorka, Jiemin Lu, JP Nicot, Katherine Romanak, and Changbing Yang; BEG Associate Director: Ian Duncan; BEG Director: Scott Tinker



100 Years of Scientific Impact



1909-2009

Bureau of Economic Geology

Reference

Oldenburg, C.M., and A.J.A. Unger, Coupled vadose zone and atmospheric surface-layer transport of CO₂ from geologic carbon sequestration sites: *Vadose Zone Journal*, v. 3, p. 848–857.