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Abstract

Merbau gas field is situated on the southern part of South Palembang Basin at Sumatra Island, Indonesia. The field was discovered in 1975, but the delineation wells were drilled in 1980’s. Recently, to support the high gas energy demand in vast growing economic area of Java and Sumatra, the South Sumatra Gas Project developed the field to fulfill commitment of 300 MMSCFD supply to energy demand of industry.

The gas project in the Merbau field has drilled 10 (ten) development wells already with a significant increase the calculated reserves. Application of borehole images data on two wells (MBU#6 and MBU#BA3) clearly show that fractures were developed and influenced the capacity of gas production of each well. The carbonate reservoirs in the field are heterogeneous laterally and vertically. Fault intensity also produced several fractures that enhanced the permeability and productivity of gas, such as at MBU-4A and MBU-8. A further detailed sedimentary and structural study of borehole image data is thus required, including detailed facies and secondary porosity identification and structural controls of carbonate reservoir layers in the field.

The determination of fractures density and orientation, porosity distribution of carbonate facies, altogether with seismic inversion and interpretation, were proved as the main tools to help the next development well locations and reservoir zones.
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INTRODUCTION

- STUDY AREA
  - SOUTH SUMATRA
  - MERBAU STRUCTURE

STRATIGRAPHY IS COMPRISED OF:
- F. MUARA ENIM (MEF)
- F. AIR BENAKAT (ABF)
- F. GUMAI (GUF)
- F. BATU RAJA (BRF)
- F. TALANG AKAR (TAF)
- F. LAHAT (LAF)
- BASEMENT

MAP LOCATION AND STRATIGRAPHY OF STUDY AREA IN SOUTH SUMATRA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>SERIES</th>
<th>STRATIGRAPHY</th>
<th>MAIN LITHOLOGY</th>
<th>DEP.ENVIRONMENT</th>
<th>TECTONIC SUMMARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRE-TERT.</td>
<td>Eocene</td>
<td>PRE TERTIARY BASEMENT</td>
<td>igneous rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALEOG.</td>
<td>Oligocene</td>
<td>LAHAT FORMATION</td>
<td>shales, volcanics</td>
<td>FLUVIAL-LACUSTRINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BENAKAT SHALE</td>
<td>shales</td>
<td>FLUVIAL-LACUSTRINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERTIARY</td>
<td>Oligocene</td>
<td>LAHAT FORMATION</td>
<td>shales, volcanics</td>
<td>FLUVIAL-LACUSTRINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BENAKAT SHALE</td>
<td>shales</td>
<td>FLUVIAL-LACUSTRINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>TALANGAKAR FORMATION</td>
<td>shales, sandstones</td>
<td>DELTAIC</td>
<td>Late graben fill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AIR BENAKAT FORMATION</td>
<td>shales</td>
<td>MARGINAL-NEARSHORE</td>
<td>Basin sag stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GUMAI FORMATION</td>
<td>shales, calc. shales</td>
<td>DEEP MARINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BATURAJA FORMATION</td>
<td>limestones</td>
<td>SHALLOW MARINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERTIARY</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>MUARA ENIM FORMATION</td>
<td>shales, coal</td>
<td>DELTAIC-SHALLOW MARINE</td>
<td>Widespread compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KASAI FORMATION</td>
<td>tuff</td>
<td>FLUVIAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simplified stratigraphic column of the study area (modified from Sitompul, N, et al., 1992, and Rashid, H., et al., 199
GEOLOGY - STRATIGRAPHY

- CLASTIC AND NONCLASTIC MID MIOCENE
- CUTTINGS FORMASI BATU RAJA (BRF)
  - TWO PARTS OF CARBONATE:
    - UPPER PART
      » WHITE, chalky, vuggy, permeable
    - LOWER PART
      » LT GREY, crystalline, intercrystalline, tight
- AVERAGE THICKNESS BRF:
  » MERBAU : 100 – 200 METER
  » WEST MUSI : 45 – 100 METER
  » POTENTIAL RESERVOIR 50 – 100 METER
- DATA LOGGING OF BRF
  - Rt of F. Batu Raja has > 100 Ohm m
  - GR 30 – 45 unit
  - High Density and Low Porosity in Lower Part (Espec. MERBAU)
GEOLGY - LITHOLOGY

- GENERAL LATERAL DISTRIBUTION OF BATU RAJA FORMATION (BRF) MERBAU STRUCTURES
  - SOUTHEAST – NORTHWEST ANTICLINE ORIENTED (MERBAU FIELD)

- LANDWARD PROGRADING EASTWARD
Well seismic tie through the objective of Baturaja Fm
Notes by Presenter
Shown is match between real data (second panel from the left) and synthetic seismic (third from left) using wavelet (first panel) from line 83SJ-16 through well MBU-01. Gas zone found in carbonate reservoir, Baturaja Formation.
Overlaying seismic data with Acoustic Impedance section which proved that inversion modeling can be a powerful tool to refine the horizon interpretation.
Notes by Presenter
Top of Baturaja Formation does not appear to be significant using the seismic amplitude itself, but it is more clear when the final AI data is incorporated.
High acoustic impedance value (red to yellow color) corresponds to lower porosity than low acoustic impedance (light blue to green).
Notes by Presenter
From Acoustic Impedance section (Final AI) through key line 83SJ-16 and constrained by MBU-03 and MBU-01 well for Baturaja carbonate reservoir. Those reservoirs can be distinguished using inversion modeling.
Interpretation of facies change in Baturaja carbonate reservoir can be distinguished by acoustic impedance data.
Notes by Presenter
Reefal facies at the upper part is represented by low acoustic impedance value (light blue to green color) and carbonate platform at the lower part is identified by lateral distribution of high acoustic impedance value (red to yellow color).
Structural regime and style in the back arc and magmatic arc in South Sumatra basin
The study area is within the back arc basin in which two ellipsoid models apply in this region (A and B) for Mid-Miocene up to Recent (after Pulonggono, et al., 1992).
Cross sectional schematics of possible wellbore conditions and their appearance on the four dipmeter caliper log
Notes by Presenter
The Caliban computation is based on these conditions.
The dominant orientations of breakouts and drilling induced fractures determined from FMI images in the carbonate interval (wells A-6 and A-5) indicate the maximum and minimum principal stresses (red and violet arrows, respectively).
Notes by Presenter

Breakout orientations observed in both wells for entire logged intervals show no significant changes.
FMI images showing breakouts and drilling induced fractures in well A-5, Merbau field. The direction of breakouts is to NNW, while the direction of drilling induced fractures is NE.
Notes by Presenter
The lithology is predominantly limestone which is generally poorly bedded with localized vugular, porous zones.
FMI images showing breakouts and drilling induced fractures in well A-6, Merbau field. Breakouts are oriented NNW, while most drilling induced fractures are oriented NE.
Breakout orientation determined from caliper data. The breakouts are constantly aligned NW-SE in these three wells, indicating the orientation of minimum principal stress to the northwest-southeast (N3100W-S1300E).
Breakout orientation determined from caliper data of selected intervals in the Merbau wells
Notes by Presenter

The breakouts are constantly aligned NW-SE in wells A-1, A-3, A-6 indicating minimum principal stress orientation (approximately N3100W-S1300E). However, in well A-2 the breakout orientations differ slightly and are aligned NNW-SSW (N3400W-S1600E). due probably to the existence of the thrust fault normal to the well (see Fig. 16).
Azimuth rosette diagrams of natural fractures and bedding dip in wells A-5 (left) and well A-6 (right).

Summary table of natural and drilling-induced features from wells A-5 (left) and A-6 (right).
Breakout oriented N300W–S120E (minimum stress direction) in well A–6, that differ slightly from other breakouts and orientations in other Merbau wells.

Direction of the regional maximum principal stress (in brown arrow) in the Merbau area based on the regional structural elements. For determination of stress orientation in the Merbau field, breakouts (NNW) and drilling induced fractures (NE) are observed in FMI log of well A–5 located adjacent to the thrust fault.
## PRODUCTION CAPABILITY ON MERBAU GAS FIELD
### PERTAMINA - PPGS 2003 - 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sumur</th>
<th>Test Rate @ Ch. 32/64” (MMSCFD)</th>
<th>Est. Gas Rate (MMSCFD)</th>
<th>Max. WH Del. (MMSCFD)</th>
<th>AOFP (MMSCFD)</th>
<th>Date of Test</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 MBU-07 (AWS.1)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>6 - 8 Juli 2003</td>
<td>Ex. Nitrified Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>19-22 Mar 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 MBU-06 (CA.2)</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>15 - 18 Juli 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- BRF-1 (Lower)</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>10 - 14 Juli 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 MBU-05 (BA.3)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>17-19 Sep. 2003</td>
<td>Ex. Nitrified Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- BRF-1 (Lower)</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>23-24 Sep. 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 MBU-08 (PPG.4)</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>20.79</td>
<td>30 Sep - 3 Okt. 2003</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- BRF-1 (Lower)</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>17.27</td>
<td>19.11</td>
<td>29 Jan - 2 Feb. 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- BRF-2 (Upper)</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>8 - 11 Feb. 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Run. dual compl.)</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>5 - 8 Mar 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 MBU-10 (PPG.3)</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>18 - 21 Juni 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 MBU-11 (PPG.1)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>15 - 17 Juni 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 MBU-14 (PPG.2D)</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>47.46</td>
<td>23 - 26 Juni 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 MBU-15 (PPG.10)</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>10 - 13 Ags. 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 MBU-16 (PPG.09)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>15 - 17 Ags. 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 MBU-17 (PPG.07)</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>15.79</td>
<td>16.79</td>
<td>25.06</td>
<td>10 - 13 Sep. 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 MBU-12ST</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>21.03</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>33.03</td>
<td>24 - 27 Nop. 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 MBU-09ST</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>24.75</td>
<td>32.65</td>
<td>30 Nop - 3 Des. 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 MBU-02ST</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>24.75</td>
<td>32.65</td>
<td>30 Nop - 3 Des. 2004</td>
<td>Tbg 3-1/2”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub total MBU: 86.30 166.14 184.18 339.37
TOTAL TEST: 86.30 166.14 184.18 339.37

Note: Sub total MBU
Merbau
CONCLUSIONS

• The existence and orientation of wellbore failure features (breakouts, drilling-induced fractures) are easily recognized in FMI logs of study wells due to the overall good quality of electrical images. Combined with caliper data, these images can provide accurate maximum and minimum horizontal stress directions.

• Maximum horizontal stress determined in both wells generally strikes NE-SW, which corresponds to the regional NE-SW compressive stress direction. Slight differences in maximum horizontal stress are observed, and they are due to the local structural influences (faulting).

• When the fields are intended for future development and production, directional wells oriented SSW or NNE are advised in order to intersect the maximum numbers of productive fractured zones of reservoir.

• Based on acoustic Impedance modeling and facies analysis, Batu Raja carbonate reservoir can be identified as two major facies: Reefal facies which is characterized by low acoustic impedance value; Carbonate platform facies with laterally distribution of high acoustic impedance value.

• The carbonate reservoir of Baturaja Formation in Merbau Field is commonly characterized by two onlapping,landward-prograding facies on top of the Sunda platform that is distributed from west to east of the north south potential closure.

• The BRF hydrocarbon reservoir has only gas hydrocarbons within its central area of the block, although the distribution of BRF carbonate extends beyond its GWC.

• The development wells drilled based on the result of reservoir characterization and fracture determination have good results for the prospect development.
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