

Evaluating and Supplementing XRD Results with Elemental Data: Mineral Modelling Examples from the Duvernay Formation

Gabriel L. Rotberg¹, Gemma V. Hildred¹, and Tim Pearce¹

¹Chemostrat Ltd. and Chemostrat Inc., Houston, TX, USA (gabrielrotberg@chemostrat.com)

Abstract

X-ray diffraction (XRD) is a powerful tool for evaluating the mineralogical composition of geologic samples, but the degree of accuracy that can be achieved through the analysis can be difficult to establish. Reported errors can vary greatly from operator to operator, and according to some researchers, results within 3 wt.% absolute or 10% relative of the true concentration should be considered “highly accurate” results (Hillier, 2000). Unsurprisingly, routine analyses from similar or even identical samples can return variable results, especially when analyzed by different operators.

This study will use examples from the Upper Devonian (Frasnian) Duvernay Formation of Alberta to demonstrate the use of a paired X-ray diffraction and elemental geochemistry dataset in two ways; first by assessing the relative quality of XRD results by comparing them to highly accurate elemental data from the same samples, and second by using paired XRD and elemental data to train a highly adaptive model for estimating the mineralogical composition of samples where only elemental data is available. The Duvernay Formation is a relatively heterogeneous system, comprising limestones, mudstones and calcareous mudstones (Stoakes and Creaney, 1984). The variety of mineralogical suites present in this study area makes it an excellent example of the ability of this modelling technique to work in relatively complex basins.

References Cited

- Braun, G. E. (1986) Quantitative Analysis of Mineral Mixtures Using Linear Programming. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, Vol. 34/3, 330-337.
- Hillier, S. (2000) Accurate quantitative analysis of clay and other minerals in sandstones by XRD: comparison of a Rietveld and a reference intensity ratio (RIR) method and the importance of sample preparation. *Clay Minerals*, 35, 291-302.
- Kolka, R. K., Laird, D. A. and Nater, E. A. (1994) Comparison of Four Elemental Mass Balance Methods for Clay Mineral Quantification. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, Vol 42/4, 437-443.
- Rosen, O. M., Abbyasov, A. A. and Tipper, J. C. (2004) MINLITH – an experience-based algorithm for estimating the likely mineralogical compositions of sedimentary rocks from bulk chemical analyses. *Computers & Geosciences*, 30, 647-661.
- Stoakes, F. A. and Creaney, S. (1984) Sedimentology of a carbonate source rock: The Duvernay Formation of central Alberta. In: Eliuk, L. (ed.) *Carbonates in Subsurface and Outcrop* (1984). Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists Core Conference, Calgary, Alberta. 132-147.